

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
------------------------------	------------------	-------------	---------------------	-----------------------

	Response				
Title of the Evaluation Report	Evaluation of UN Women ESARO Capacity Development Initiatives during the Strategic Note period 2014-17				
Report sequence number	0	Date of Review	01 February 2018	Year of the Evaluation Report	2017
Region	Eastern and Southern Africa		Country(ies)	Kenya, Uganda, Rwanda, Tanzania	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Partly		TORs sent with Report	Yes	
OVERALL RATING			Overall Rating	Good	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	An innovative evaluation report in presentation and the development of a capacity development process framework to support the analysis. Would benefit from more detail on the context, the overall evaluation design, some refinement on the prioritisation of the recommendations, and a more comprehensive executive summary. However, it provides many useful insights, examples, good practices, and lessons that enhance the value of the evaluation.				
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION			PARAMETER 1	Satisfactory	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The report provides a very brief overview of the general context, but little analysis of the normative, institutional, political, social, cultural or economic context (and its variations) across the region. Some more information is provided on the sample of activities, but this would have also benefited from more disaggregated analysis of the contributions of each stakeholder.				
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE			PARAMETER 2	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The purpose and objectives are covered, although would benefit from including greater clarity on primary intended uses. Evaluation criteria are listed in the objectives, but are not defined (evaluation questions are provided in the methods section).				
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY			PARAMETER 3	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The evaluation uses an impressive set of methods given the very short allocation of days that are reported under limitations. They are comprehensively implemented, although the report would have benefited from articulating details of the overall design beyond 'mixed methods'. Information about sampling and the sampling frame is included at the level of each case. Aspects of ethics are addressed under the description of each method, although there is no single part of the report that brings this together. The report is notable for the analytical framework (Capacity Development Process Framework) that is used to support the analysis.				
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS			PARAMETER 4	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	Findings systematically address the evaluation questions, and marshal multiple sources of evidence to do so. The report is notable for carefully defining what level of data constitutes 'evidence'.				
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED			PARAMETER 5	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The conclusions respond systematically to the evaluation questions and build upon the evidence in the findings. Both lessons (at the end) and good practices (throughout the report) are developed.				
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS			PARAMETER 6	Good	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The recommendations are comprehensive, but many details are provided that - while adding to the actionability - would benefit from a n examination of the cost implications.				
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS			PARAMETER 7	Approaching Requirements	

<p>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7</p>	<p>GEEW is specifically included in the objectives (and scope) of the evaluation; but indicators are generic and only capture gender because of the object of the evaluation.</p> <p>The report states that gender equality and human rights was considered in the design of the evaluation, but the evaluation questions in the matrix do not specifically address the ommitment to GE/HR beyond examining the results and relevance of the capacity development efforts.</p> <p>The evaluation adopts a participatory set of methods that are sufficient to identify gender issues; but there is no substantive evidence of participation in the data analysis and validation.</p> <p>The analysis is broad and misses opportunities to disaggregate the evidence to identify patterns in the experience of CD for different groups. The report does, however, provide valuable insights into CD methods that are frequently applied to women's economic empowerment.</p>		
<p>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</p>		<p>PARAMETER 8</p>	<p>Good</p>
<p>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8</p>	<p>The report is notable for its excellent use of graphics to support the points being made. However, the executive summary is extremely short and misses key information that is needed - such as the methods - that are required for it to stand alone as a document.</p>		