

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Response				
Title of the Evaluation Report	Women Connecting Across Conflict Final Evaluation			
Report sequence number	0	Date of Review		Year of the Evaluation Report 2013
Region	Europe and Central Asia		Country(ies)	Tajikistan, Pakistan, Georgia
Executive Summary in Final Report	Yes		TORs sent with Report	Yes
OVERALL RATING			Overall Rating	Good
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	This report is credible and well written. Whilst it would benefit from some polishing - most noticeably in terms of an explicit process for validating findings and developing recommendations - the overall document marshals the evidence effectively. The points that are most relevant to decision makers are drawn out and presented succinctly.			
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION			PARAMETER 1	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	A useful and concise overall view is provided, in addition to detailed country-by-country assessment in the findings. The logic is well presented, and the evaluator provides excellent insights into the complexities and challenges facing the programme. Key stakeholders are identified at the institutional level, with women seen as a single group rather than multiple sets of social characteristics.			
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE			PARAMETER 2	Very Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The report systematically presents all the required information clearly and concisely. The criteria are the DAC standards and are usefully unpacked into questions. The scope is understandable from the report, although it would have been interesting to have a list of what was considered outside the scope and why.			
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY			PARAMETER 3	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The methods section is well presented and clear with all the relevant considerations included. The main gaps are with a specific discussion of ethics and the report would also benefit from having a discussion about why the overall evaluation design was more relevant than the alternative possibilities.			
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS			PARAMETER 4	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	Findings are systematically presented and the report clearly states the evidence for each country and under each criteria. The gaps in the available data are explained, and the evaluation attempts to work around these.			
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED			PARAMETER 5	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The Conclusions section is used here to present programme findings in addition to overall conclusive insights. Whilst the organisation by evaluative criteria is useful, this introduces new information that would have better been presented in the findings section. Lessons learned are presented, but mostly pertain to the evaluation object rather than being of a generalised nature.			
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS			PARAMETER 6	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	Recommendations are set out that are in line with the findings and conclusions. These are not always as specific or as justified as might ideally be the case, sometimes requiring the reader to go back through the report to find the supporting evidence. The lists of recommendations for specific countries is, however, a useful approach.			
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS			PARAMETER 7	Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	The evaluation is mostly focused at a policy level, and so there are few opportunities to disaggregate performance data. However, the object of the evaluation is focused on women, and the evaluation itself takes a gender-sensitive and human rights compliant perspectives on considering the roles and responsibilities of primary duty bearers.			
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE			PARAMETER 8	Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	Report structure is excellent, writing is clear, and the executive summary communicates the relevant information to decision makers.			