

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Response				
Title of the Evaluation Report	Report of Findings of the Final Evaluation ASEAN Regional Mechanisms Project to Protect the Human Rights of Women and Girls in Southeast Asia 2010 – 2014			
Report sequence number	0	Date of Review	#####	Year of the Evaluation Report
Region	Asia and the Pacific		Country(ies)	Cambodia, Laos, Indonesia, Philippines, Thailand, and Vietnam
Executive Summary in Final Report	Good		TORs sent with Report	No
OVERALL RATING			Overall Rating	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	This report contains a lot of evidence, but much of it relates to activities. To strengthen the evaluative element, more information is required on the amount of evidence supporting each evaluative statement, and the rubrics for defining success. In order to fully meet UNEG and UN SWAP standards this report would benefit from: 1) addition of annexes including TOR and the data collection formats; 2) a copy of the programme results framework; and 3) contextual information about human rights systems in the region.			
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION			PARAMETER 1	Unsatisfactory
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The introduction section is limited to one page. It requires the addition of contextual analysis about the relevant human rights system in the region, a list of key stakeholders (and their contributions) and more detail about the programme itself – especially the theory of change.			
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE			PARAMETER 2	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The objectives are listed. However, an evaluation report also requires a discussion of scope in order to meet UNEG standards – highlighting what issues were included and excluded from the evaluation process. In addition, UN SWAP requires that evaluations demonstrate how issues of gender and human rights were considered 'within' the evaluation object. Even though this report relates to human rights systems, the			
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY			PARAMETER 3	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The method is concise, but manages to convey some useful information. The overall design appears to be an expert-led synthesis of qualitative evidence. This would have been strengthened with a discussion on the limitations of the sample size, some attempt at frequency counting to illustrate how much evidence for certain points were raised, and a gender analysis of the stakeholders that participated in the evaluation as informants.			
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS			PARAMETER 4	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The findings are largely structured according to the programme results framework, which is useful. There is a particularly good discussion on the unexpected results, challenges and opportunities. This is a useful example for other evaluation reports. More broadly, however, there is substantial scope for more specific reference to be made to the level of evidence supporting each finding.			
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED			PARAMETER 5	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	Conclusions are rather broad – seeming to refer mostly to effectiveness and sustainability. They would benefit from being restructured around the evaluation criteria in order to explicitly marshal the supporting evidence.			
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS			PARAMETER 6	Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	Recommendations are made against findings, which ensures that a strong link is made within the report. The report would benefit from discussing how recommendations were developed in more detail, and add additional discussion on how they could be implemented.			
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS			PARAMETER 7	Approaching Requirements
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	As a consequence of the evaluation object, the report does discuss human rights and gender issues, but does not apply a specific gender analysis to the programme itself.			
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE			PARAMETER 8	Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	The report is broadly structured according to UNEG recommendations. It requires a copy of the terms of reference, and would benefit from including the full interview protocols rather than links to the Word documents.			