

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

| | Very Good | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory | |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| | Response | | | | |
| Title of the Evaluation Report | Sudan Country Portfolio Evaluation Strategic Note 2014 - 2016 | | | | |
| Report sequence number | 0 | Date of Review February 24t | h, 2 Evaluation Report | 2017 | |
| Region | Eastern and Southern Africa | | Country(ies) | Sudan | |
| Executive Summary in Final Report | Fully | | TORs sent with Report | No | |
| | OVERALL RATING | | Overall Rating | Very Good | |
| Executive Feedback on Overall Rating | This is a very strong evaluation report that can be used with confidence. It is based on a good quality theory-based and participatory methodology, and presents useful findings, forward-looking conclusions, and actionable recommendations. It would be useful to include the ToRs in the annexes, the report data on the front cover, and indicators within the Evaluation Matrix. | | | | |
| PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND C | ONTEXT OF THE EVALUAT | TION | PARAMETER 1 | Very Good | |
| Executive Feedback on Parameter 2 | geographic reach, results targets, programming areas, and key initiatives and joint partnerships. The DRF and OEEF results frameworks are included in the report, and the evaluators used this information as well as information obtained through a document review to reconstruct the programme's Theory of Change model. A stakeholder analysis outlining key stakeholders and their contributions is presented in Annex X. The context in which the programme operates is also very well outlined and includes information on the country as a whole, the national political frameworks, the current status of women's rights, as well as UN Women's national positioning. | | | | |
| PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJ | | | PARAMETER 2 | Very Good | |
| | The evaluation purpose, objectives, and scope are well outlined. The report is particularly strong at explaining how the information generated by this evaluation will inform the subsequent Country Programme. The report presents the evaluation criteria used and explains why the standard criterion of "impact" was not included. The evaluation scope and questions adequately address gender and human rights. | | | | |
| Executive Feedback on Parameter 2 | presents the evaluation criteria | by this evaluation will inforused and explains why the | rm the subsequent Country Pr standard criterion of "impact | rogramme. The report | |
| | presents the evaluation criteria evaluation scope and questions | by this evaluation will inforused and explains why the | rm the subsequent Country Pr standard criterion of "impact | rogramme. The report | |
| Parameter 2 | presents the evaluation criteria evaluation scope and questions | by this evaluation will inforused and explains why the adequately address gender imarily qualitative and particular choices are well explain human rights consideration tools are found in the | PARAMETER 3 icipatory methodology that used and justified, including them. Lists of persons interviewe annexes. The report provide | very Good sed a theory-based ne sampling frame and wed, documents les an adequate used to protect | |
| Parameter 2 PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOG Executive Feedback on | presents the evaluation criteria evaluation scope and questions The evaluation is based on a pricuster design. The methodolog the incorporation of gender and reviewed, and copies of the evaluation participants. | by this evaluation will inforused and explains why the adequately address gender imarily qualitative and particular choices are well explain human rights consideration tools are found in the tions of the evaluators and | PARAMETER 3 icipatory methodology that used and justified, including the samexes. The report provide how ethical safeguards were used. | very Good sed a theory-based ne sampling frame and wed, documents les an adequate used to protect Very Good | |
| PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOG Executive Feedback on Parameter 3 | presents the evaluation criteria evaluation scope and questions The evaluation is based on a pricuster design. The methodolog the incorporation of gender and reviewed, and copies of the evaluescription of the ethical obligations. | by this evaluation will inforused and explains why the adequately address gender imarily qualitative and particular choices are well explains the human rights consideration tools are found in the tions of the evaluators and answer all of the evaluation on limitations and mitigations. The findings are also stimused and the second content of the evaluation on limitations and mitigations. | PARAMETER 3 icipatory methodology that used and justified, including the same annexes. The report provide how ethical safeguards were a part of the pa | very Good sed a theory-based ne sampling frame and wed, documents les an adequate used to protect Very Good very Good hrough the participatory include the voices of d the report identifies leading to the | |
| PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOG Executive Feedback on Parameter 3 PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS Executive Feedback on | The findings present a high quaevaluation methodology. They diverse stakeholders. Evaluation methodology. They diverse stakeholders. Evaluation and discusses unexpected resuluacion plishment and non-according and complishment and non-according and complishment and non-according and discusses unexpected resuluacion methodology. | by this evaluation will inforused and explains why the adequately address gender imarily qualitative and particular choices are well explains the human rights consideration tools are found in the tions of the evaluators and answer all of the evaluation on limitations and mitigations. The findings are also stimused and the second content of the evaluation on limitations and mitigations. | PARAMETER 3 icipatory methodology that used and justified, including the same annexes. The report provide how ethical safeguards were a part of the pa | very Good sed a theory-based ne sampling frame and wed, documents les an adequate used to protect Very Good very Good hrough the participatory include the voices of did the report identifies | |

| PARAMETER 6:RECOMMENDATIONS | | PARAMETER 6 | Good | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6 | The recommendations are logically derived from the evaluation findings and conclusions, and are relevant to the evaluation purpose. They will likely be very useful to decision makers, as they clearly identify the target group for action, are prioritized, and include an excellent description of how they can be implemented. Although the report explains that stakeholders were involved in validating the draft report, it would be useful to specifically indicate whether or not stakeholders were involved in the development of the recommendations. | | | |
| PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS | | PARAMETER 7 | Exceeds Requirements | |
| Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7 | GEEW is included in the scope of analysis, and mainstreamed throughout the evaluation criteria; but the evaluation matrix does not include indicators. All evaluation criteria include questions specifically relating to GEEW, in addition to the overall object of the evaluation being focused on gender. The evaluation is based on participatory qualitative methods, including contribution analysis. Data methods included observation and participatory analysis by stakeholders through an extended reference group process. The findings, conclusions and recommendations go beyond institutional considerations and include a wider gender analysis of UN-Women's response. | | | |
| PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE | | PARAMETER 8 | Good | |
| Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8 | The report is logically structured following a traditional evaluar required information with the exception of the date of the repoincludes a succinct summary of the most important sections of several annexes, which add significant credibility to the report | ort. An Executive Summar of the evaluation report. The | y is presented that ne report includes | |