

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
-----------------------	-----------	------	--------------	----------------

	Response				
Title of the Evaluation Report	External Evaluation of the UN Women Project for Empowering Abandoned Women from Migrants' Families in Tajikistan				
Report sequence number	0	Date of Review	January 29th, 2017	Year of the Evaluation Report	2016
Region	Europe and Central Asia		Country(ies)	Tajikistan	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Satisfactory		TORs sent with Report	No	
OVERALL RATING			Overall Rating	Good	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	This is overall a credible report that addresses the evaluation purpose and objectives based on evidence and can be used with confidence even though some information is missing and some improvement is required. Areas for improvement include: providing more information on how outputs are expected to lead to outcomes; the project budget; the reasons behind the selection of the evaluation scope and sample; and the reasons for the selection of evaluation criteria. Additionally, the report could be strengthened by using a data collection method that collects first-hand quantitative data; identifying unexpected findings; better balancing the project's strengths and weaknesses in the conclusions; providing more generalizable lessons learned; and ensuring that each recommendation is actionable.				
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION			PARAMETER 1	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The report presents an overall clear and full description of the 'object' of the evaluation and is particularly strong at discussing the context in which the project is operating. However, some key information is missing, including: missing detail on how the project's outputs are expected to lead to outcomes; the project budget; and the role of key stakeholders in the project implementation.				
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE			PARAMETER 2	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The report provides clear evaluation objectives and guiding questions. In terms of scope, although the report identifies what was covered throughout the evaluation process, it does not specify what was not covered; as well as no justification or criteria were provided around why a certain scope was selected.				
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY			PARAMETER 3	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	Overall, the methodology used for the evaluation is well described and includes excellent information on data sources; the stakeholder consultation process; measures to ensure data quality (by including data collection tools in the annexes); how gender and human rights principles were considered; and how ethical behaviour was followed by the evaluation team. As regard to evaluation scope, the report does not specify areas that were not covered through the evaluation process, as well as there is no justification or criteria were provided around why a certain scope				

	was selected. Additionally, the data collection techniques do not include any ways to collect primary quantitative data, which slightly weakens the overall methodology.		
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS		PARAMETER 4	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	Findings are clearly presented, relevant, and based on evidence and sound analysis. They are structured around the evaluation criteria and provide a good description of the factors promoting or hindering the project's success. While gaps and caveats are referred to throughout the findings, the report does not explicitly identify any unexpected findings.		
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED		PARAMETER 5	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	Overall, the conclusions are clearly presented, based on the findings, and substantiated by evidence even though they tend to focus more on the project's strengths than the areas for improvement. Some lessons learned are over-specific and relate only to the evaluated object.		
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS		PARAMETER 6	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The recommendations are relevant to the object and purpose of the evaluation and are clearly presented. They explicitly refer to the evidence supporting them and describe the process followed in developing them. However, the target group for action is not clearly stated for all of the recommendations, making some of them less actionable. Also, the 10 recommendations are not listed in order of priority.		
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS		PARAMETER 7	Meets Requirements
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	The evaluation report thoroughly mainstreams GEEW considerations.		
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE		PARAMETER 8	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	The report is well structured and includes a complete Executive Summary, although it is too long (14 pages). The opening pages are complete and provide a good description of the evaluator and her thematic and technical experience. The report includes the Annexes except the ToR.		