

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

| * | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|------------------|--|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Overall Report Rating | Very Good Good | | Satisfactory | | Unsatisfactory | |
| | Response | | | | | |
| Title of the Evaluation Report | Mid Term Evaluation of the Joint Programme on Prevention of Gender Based Violence (JPGBV) Against Young Women and Adolescent Girls | | | | | |
| Report sequence number | 0 | Date of Review | 10 February 2017 | | Year of the Evaluation Report | 2016 |
| Region | Eastern a | Eastern and Southern Africa | | | Country(ies) | Zimbabwe |
| Executive Summary in Final Report | Satisfactory | | | | TORs sent with Report | Yes |
| | OVERALL RATING | | | | Overall Rating | Good |
| Executive Feedback on Overall Rating | This is a good quality report that can be used with confidence. However, some issues need to be addressed. Firstly, conclusions should be correctly identified so that they provide valuable insights and added value to the findings, presenting solutions to important problems encountered throughout the evaluation process. Secondly, the process followed in developing the recommendations, including the level of participation of stakeholders must be explained. The report needs to inform within the first few pages the geographic location of the object of the evaluation (front page or immediately after in the opening pages). Finally, the executive summary needs to be further synthetized. | | | | | |
| PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION | | | | | PARAMETER 1 | Very Good |
| Executive Feedback on Parameter 2 The evaluation presents a clear description of the object of the evaluation. It includes a description of the Theory of Change with both a pictorial (in the annexes) and a written description of it. Also, the evaluation provides a description of the context in which the object of the evaluation operated. Similarly, the key stakeholders, including the implementing agencies, are clearly presented and the implementation status is discussed in detail. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE | | | | | PARAMETER 2 | Very Good |
| Executive Feedback on Parameter 2 | The evaluation's purpose, objectives and scope are thoroughly addressed in the evaluation report. The evaluation clearly explains the evaluation objectives and the evaluation questions are presented in the evaluation matrix in the annexes. The report also discusses in detail the way in which gender and human rights perspectives were included in the evaluation objectives and scope. | | | | | |
| PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY | | | | | PARAMETER 3 | Very Good |
| Executive Feedback on The methodology used for the evaluation is clearly described and includes sufficient information on all aspects assessed in this section. The report does a good job at thoroughly describing the data collection methods, including quantitative and qualitative methods. Also, data sources, the sampling frame as well as the rationale for selecting them are duly addressed. Furthermore, the stakeholders consulted are specified and the interview protocols are presented in the annexes. Finally, the | | | | | | |

consulted are specified and the interview protocols are presented in the annexes. Finally, the

evaluation contemplates methods that are appropriate for analysing gender and human-rights considerations, and the evaluation design's ethical safeguards and mechanisms implemented

throughout the evaluation process are described in particular detail.

Parameter 3

PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS

PARAMETER 4

Very Good

Executive Feedback on Parameter 4 The findings are clearly presented and structured around the evaluation criteria and so as to respond to key evaluation questions for greater clarity. They are relevant and based on the objective use of a significant quantity of credible evidence. Also, all findings reflect a systematic and appropriate analysis and interpretation of the presented data. Gaps and limitations are correctly addressed and the reasons for accomplishments and failures are duly identified. Similarly, both positive and negative unintended results of the JPGBV are discussed in this section.

PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED

PARAMETER 5

Satisfactory

Executive Feedback on Parameter 5 Although the conclusions are clearly presented according to the evaluation criteria, they are identified as "Summary Findings", which is not what conclusions are supposed to be. They provide some hints of solutions to issues encountered; and they address to a certain degree both the strengths and areas of improvement of the object of evaluation. Lessons Learned are correctly identified and generalized so as to indicate their wider relevance in different contexts.

PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS

PARAMETER 6

Good

Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6 The recommendations are relevant to the object and purpose of the evaluation. They are supported by evidence; identify the target group for each recommendation; presented according to their priority; and reflect a deep understanding of the commissioning organization and potential constraints. However, the process followed in developing the recommendations, including the level and type of consultation with stakeholders is not specified in the report.

PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS

PARAMETER 7

Meets Requirements

Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7 Gender and Human-Rights perspectives are integrated in all the evaluation process and discussed in every stage of it.

PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE

PARAMETER 8

Satisfactory

Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8 The report is logically structured, complete, and easy to follow. The title page and opening pages contain most of the necessary elements. However, the geographic location of the Joint Programme (Zimbabwe) is not noted anywhere in these pages. Also, although the executive summary contains all necessary elements; can stand alone; and inform decision-making, it is slightly long (11 pages). Finally, the information included in the annexes is abundant and thorough, which greatly increases the credibility of the report.