

## **UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template**

Overall Report Rating	Very Good		Good		Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
	Response						
Title of the Evaluation Report	Evaluation of UN Women's Contribution to UN System Coordination on Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women in Europe and Central Asia (ECA)						
Report sequence number	0	Date of Review	January 28th, 201		Year of the Evaluation Report	2016	
Region	Europe and Central Asia				Country(ies)	Albania, Kyrgyzstan, Kosovo, Turkey, Bosnia- Herzegovina, Georgia, and Serbia.	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Good				TORs sent with Report	No	
	OVERALL RATING				Overall Rating	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	This is a credible report that can be used with confidence. The report could be improved by better discussing the level of stakeholder involvement in the evaluation and the justification for the selected level. It should also explicitly discuss the process followed in developing the recommendations, including any consultation with stakeholders. The description around the scope of the evaluation should ideally mention what was not covered and a justification for why the non-standard evaluation criteria were selected should be provided.						
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION				PARAMETER 1	Good		
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	eedback on						
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE					PARAMETER 2	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	Feedback on to specify what was not covered and a justification for why these specific evaluation criteria were						
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY				PARAMETER 3	Very Good		
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3 Overall the methodology used for the evaluation is clearly described and provides a justification for the rationale for the methodological choice. However, information around stakeholder involvement in the evaluation process is very limited and evidence of data quality assurance (i.e. data collection tools) is provided within an annex.							

PARAMETER 4: F	INDINGS	PARAMETER 4	Very Good			
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The findings are clearly presented, relevant, and based on evidence and sound analysis.					
PARAMETER 5: C	ONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED	PARAMETER 5	Very Good			
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The conclusions are clearly presented based on findings and substantiated by evidence. However, evaluation questions are only located within an annex, which is not attached to the report, making it difficult to logically connect conclusions to evaluation questions.					
PARAMETER 6: R	ECOMMENDATIONS	PARAMETER 6	Good			
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The recommendations relevant to the object and purpose of the evaluation are clearly presented. They are few but are not explicitly presented in priority area. Additionally, the report does not explicitly discuss the process of the development of the recommendations, including any consultation with stakeholders.					
PARAMETER 7: G	ENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS	PARAMETER 7	Exceeds Requirements			
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	Gender and human rights perspectives are integrated and well addressed in the evaluation report. This is particularly the case in its findings, conclusions, and recommendations.					
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE		PARAMETER 8	Very Good			
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	The report is well structured, logical, clear, and com	plete.				