

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
------------------------------	------------------	-------------	---------------------	-----------------------

	Response				
Title of the Evaluation Report	Final Evaluation of the Building a Constituency for Peace Project				
Report sequence number	0	Date of Review	January 29th, 2017	Year of the Evaluation Report	2016
Region	Europe and Central Asia		Country(ies)	Kyrgyzstan	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Good		TORs sent with Report	Yes	
OVERALL RATING			Overall Rating	Good	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	<p>The evaluation is overall satisfactory. However, due to a very tight evaluation timeline, the evaluation is weak in terms of quantitative data. The other weak aspect of the evaluation is that the report is focused on the project's strengths and does not provide enough information on areas for improvement. Other areas where the evaluation could improve include: justifying the evaluation criteria (i.e. why "impact" was not included); explicitly referencing the UNEG Ethical Guidelines; identifying how recommendations were developed and if stakeholders were consulted; explicitly prioritizing recommendations; identifying the target group for action for each recommendation; and better discussing the different experiences of girls and boys within the category of "youth".</p>				
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION			PARAMETER 1	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	<p>The object is very well explained and includes information on: its logic model and expected results; the number of people and geographic regions to be reached; project budget; project implementation status; and project stakeholders (including their roles and responsibilities).</p>				
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE			PARAMETER 2	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	<p>The evaluation purpose, objectives, and scope are clearly explained. The evaluation criteria are clearly outlined but no explanation is provided as to why the standard criterion of "impact" was not included. The evaluation matrix includes a sub-section of questions dedicated to gender equality and human rights.</p>				
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY			PARAMETER 3	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	<p>The methodology used for the evaluation is clearly described and the rationale for the methodological choice is well justified. The report is particularly strong at using a participatory and gender-inclusive approach. Due to time limitations, the evaluators were not able to implement a survey or gather first-hand quantitative data. Although ethical issues are discussed in the report in terms of how the stakeholders should be treated and included in the process, there is no explicit reference to UNEG ethical standards and the report does not mention the issue of confidentiality.</p>				

PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS		PARAMETER 4	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	Findings are clearly presented and are structured around evaluation criteria and evaluation questions. They are based on the information presented and draw on multiple sources of evidence. They adequately discuss the factors that contribute to or inhibit the project's success and discuss unexpected findings in a separate section. Even though the project results may have been positive overall, the findings should still be able to identify areas for improvement. The findings currently focus on the positive elements of the project and do not adequately discuss areas for improvement.		
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED		PARAMETER 5	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	Overall, the conclusions relate to the key evaluation questions, are based on evidence, and provide analytical insights. However, they focus heavily on the strengths of the initiative and do not adequately discuss areas for improvement.		
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS		PARAMETER 6	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	Recommendations are supported by evidence and are relevant to the object of evaluation. However, they do not clearly identify the target group for action, are not explicitly prioritized, and there is no discussion around how the recommendations were developed and whether stakeholders were involved.		
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS		PARAMETER 7	Exceeds Requirements
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	The report is overall quite strong at integrating and addressing gender equality and human rights. However, it often discusses "youth" as one group rather than breaking it down to "girls and boys".		
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE		PARAMETER 8	Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	The report is well structured, logical, clear, and complete. It contains a succinct and complete Executive Summary that provides a good overview of the most important report elements. It also includes all necessary annexes and is logically structured. The opening pages contain all of the necessary information with the exception of project dates and location and the name of the evaluation's commissioning organization.		