

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
------------------------------	-----------	------	--------------	----------------

Response					
Title of the Evaluation Report	UN Women - UNICEF: Joint Programme on Peace Building and Enhancing Protection Systems, Gender Promotion Initiative - End of Programme Evaluation				
Report sequence number	0	Date of Review	09 February 2017	Year of the Evaluation Report	2016
Region	Eastern and Southern Africa			Country(ies)	Uganda
Executive Summary in Final Report	Satisfactory			TORs sent with Report	Yes
OVERALL RATING				Overall Rating	Good
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	This is a good quality report that can be used with confidence since for the most part it observes good practices in all of its sections. The only elements that need to be addressed are: the occurrence of any unintended outcomes (positive or negative) should be reported and discussed; the process followed in developing the recommendations should be described as well as the level and type of stakeholder participation in it. Also, the opening pages should specify in the front page or first pages the geographic location of the object of evaluation. Finally, the executive summary is long and can be further synthesized.				
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION				PARAMETER 1	Very Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The evaluation presents a clear and complete description of the object of the evaluation and the Theory of Change is adequately explained. Information on the context in which the object of evaluation operated is very thorough and provides a very good understanding of the environment in which the Joint Programme was implemented. Also, the key stakeholders are very clearly listed along with their roles and responsibilities.				
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE				PARAMETER 2	Very Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The evaluation's purpose, objectives and scope are thoroughly addressed in the evaluation report. The report explains the evaluation objectives and the evaluation questions are presented in the annexes. The report also discusses in detail the way in which gender and human rights perspectives were included in the evaluation objectives and scope.				
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY				PARAMETER 3	Very Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The methodology used for the evaluation is described in particular detail and includes complete and pertinent information on all aspects assessed in this parameter. Both qualitative and quantitative methods were used and data collection tools are duly described. Furthermore, data sources, the sampling frame as well as the rationale for selecting them are clearly presented. Also, the stakeholders consulted are specified and the interview protocols are presented in the annexes. Finally, the evaluation contemplates methods that are appropriate for analysing gender and human-rights considerations, and the evaluation design's ethical safeguards and mechanisms implemented throughout the evaluation process are discussed.				

PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS		PARAMETER 4	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The findings are clearly presented and structured around the evaluation criteria and questions. They are relevant; based on the objective use of a significant quantity of credible evidence; and respond directly to the evaluation criteria and questions, which contributes to clarity. Also, all findings reflect a systematic and appropriate analysis and interpretation of the presented data, using a structured ranking system according to the level of achievement for each criterion. Gaps and limitations are correctly addressed and the reasons for accomplishments and failures are identified.		
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED		PARAMETER 5	Very Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The conclusions present pertinent insights and provide added value to the findings. Also, the conclusions are formulated so as to address all of the evaluation criteria at once and the joint programme is given a general grade of "Good." The conclusions provide solutions to issues that may be encountered and they address both the strengths and areas of improvement of the object of evaluation. Similarly, the report presents Lessons Learned which are for the most part correctly identified and generalized so as to suggest what wider relevance they may have in different contexts.		
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS		PARAMETER 6	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The recommendations are relevant to the object and purpose of the evaluation. They are supported by evidence; identify the target group for each recommendation; and reflect a deep understanding of the commissioning organization and potential constraints. On the other hand, the report does not describe the process followed in developing the recommendations or the level and type of consultation with stakeholders.		
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS		PARAMETER 7	Meets Requirements
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	Gender and Human-Rights perspectives are integrated in all the evaluation process and sufficiently discussed in every stage of it.		
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE		PARAMETER 8	Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	The report is logically structured, complete, and observes best practices in the way the report is organized and presented, which makes it very easy to read. The title page and opening pages contain most of the necessary elements, although the geographic location is only mentioned well into the evaluation report. Conversely, the amount of information included in the annexes is particularly thorough, which greatly increases the credibility of the report. The executive summary can stand alone and inform decision-making although it is slightly too long (9 pages).		