

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
------------------------------	-----------	------	--------------	----------------

Response					
Title of the Evaluation Report	Country Portfolio Evaluation Final Report, Tanzania, Strategic Note (2014-2016)				
Report sequence number	0	Date of Review	08 February 2017	Year of the Evaluation Report	2016
Region	Eastern and Southern Africa			Country(ies)	Tanzania
Executive Summary in Final Report	Good			TORs sent with Report	Yes
OVERALL RATING				Overall Rating	Good
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	<p>This is for the most part a good quality report that can be used with confidence. However, one major flaw in this evaluation is the fact that the logic model, expected results chain or a Theory of Change supporting and explaining what UN Women is set out to accomplish in Tanzania is not presented. Furthermore, quantitative methods should be included in the evaluation since they are necessary in order to contrast the collected data obtained through qualitative methods and validate the latter. Finally, Lessons Learned that contribute to general knowledge must be duly identified and presented.</p>				
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION				PARAMETER 1	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	<p>The evaluation report presents a clear and complete description of the object of the evaluation. However, there is no discussion around the logic model, expected results chain or Theory of Change. On the other hand, information on the context in which the object of evaluation operated is particularly thorough and gives a very good understanding of the environment in which UN Women works in Tanzania. Also, the key stakeholders are very clearly listed along with their roles and responsibilities, and the country SN status is explained.</p>				
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE				PARAMETER 2	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	<p>The evaluation's purpose, objectives and scope are thoroughly addressed in the evaluation report. The report explains the evaluation objectives and the evaluation questions are presented in evaluation matrix in the annexes. The report also discusses in detail the way in which gender and human rights perspectives were included in the evaluation objectives and scope.</p>				
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY				PARAMETER 3	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	<p>The methodology used for the evaluation is clearly described and includes sufficient and pertinent information on all aspects assessed in this parameter. However, there is only qualitative data collected. Although, the methodology explains that quantitative methods were not feasible On the other hand, data sources, the sampling frame as well as the rationale for selecting them are duly discussed. Also, the stakeholders consulted are specified and the interview protocols are presented in the annexes. Finally, the evaluation contemplates methods that are appropriate for analysing gender and human-rights considerations, and the evaluation design's ethical safeguards and mechanisms implemented throughout the evaluation process are discussed in particular detail.</p>				

PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS		PARAMETER 4	Very Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The findings are clearly presented and structured around the evaluation criteria and respond to key evaluation questions. They are relevant and based on the objective use of credible evidence. Furthermore, all findings reflect a systematic and appropriate analysis and interpretation of the presented data. Gaps and limitations are correctly addressed and the reasons for accomplishments and failures are duly identified.		
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED		PARAMETER 5	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The conclusions provide pertinent insights and added value to the findings. Also, the conclusions are formulated so as to address all the evaluation criteria; provide solutions to issues that may be encountered; and they address both the strengths and areas of improvement of the object of evaluation. However, the report does not present Lessons Learned.		
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS		PARAMETER 6	Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The recommendations are relevant to the object and purpose of the evaluation. They are supported by evidence; identify the target group for each recommendation; are prioritised; and reflect a deep understanding of the commissioning organization and potential constraints. The report duly describes the process followed in developing the recommendations, including the level and type of consultation with stakeholders.		
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS		PARAMETER 7	Meets Requirements
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	Gender and Human-Rights perspectives are integrated in all the evaluation process and sufficiently discussed in every stage of it.		
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE		PARAMETER 8	Very Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	The report is logically structured, complete, and for the most part easy to follow. The title page and opening pages contain all of the necessary elements. Also, the information included in the annexes is particularly abundant and thorough, which greatly increases the credibility of the report. The executive summary contains all necessary elements, can stand alone and inform decision-making.		