

## UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

<b>Overall Report Rating</b>	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
------------------------------	-----------	------	--------------	----------------

	<b>Response</b>				
<b>Title of the Evaluation Report</b>	<b>Final Evaluation of the CEDAW South East Asia Programme Phase II</b>				
<b>Report sequence number</b>	0	<b>Date of Review</b>	30 January 2017	<b>Year of the Evaluation Report</b>	<b>2016</b>
<b>Region</b>	Asia and the Pacific		<b>Country(ies)</b>	Cambodia, Indonesia, Laos, Myanmar (since 2014), Philippines, Thailand, Timor Leste and Viet Nam	
<b>Executive Summary in Final Report</b>	Good		<b>TORs sent with Report</b>	Yes	
<b>OVERALL RATING</b>			<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Overall Rating</b>	This is a good quality report that can be used with confidence since it observes good practices in almost all of its sections. Some sections of the report that could be improved are the following: Lessons Learned, understood as contributions from the evaluation to general knowledge, must be discussed in its own section. They need to be generalised to indicate what greater relevance they may have in different contexts. Also, the evaluation should directly address the extent to which the chosen methodology, including methods and data collection tools, was gender-responsive.				
<b>PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION</b>			<b>PARAMETER 1</b>	<b>Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	The evaluation presents a clear and complete description of the object of the evaluation. It includes a thorough description of the Logic Model; information on the context in which the evaluated programme operated; and of the key stakeholders, including the implementing agencies, and the project's implementation status.				
<b>PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE</b>			<b>PARAMETER 2</b>	<b>Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	The evaluation's purpose, objectives and scope are thoroughly addressed in the evaluation report. The evaluation explains in detail the evaluation objectives and the evaluation questions are provided in the annexes. Also, the report discusses the way in which gender and human rights perspectives were included in the evaluation objectives and scope.				
<b>PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY</b>			<b>PARAMETER 3</b>	<b>Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 3</b>	The methodology used for the evaluation is clearly described and includes complete information on all aspects assessed in parameter 3. Data collection methods, data sources, and the sampling frame as well as the rationale for selecting them are explicitly discussed in their respective subsections. Also, the stakeholders consulted are specified and the interview protocols are				

	presented in the annexes. The evaluation describes the way the methods used were appropriated for analysing gender and human rights considerations. Finally, the report includes a discussion on the evaluation design's ethical safeguards and mechanisms implemented throughout the evaluation process.		
<b>PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS</b>		<b>PARAMETER 4</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 4</b>	The findings are clearly presented and structured around the evaluation criteria and subdivided according to the progresses observed towards results, outcomes and outputs. Furthermore, findings refer to each country included in the evaluation under each subsection. They are relevant and based on the objective use of a significant quantity of credible evidence. Also, all findings reflect a systematic and appropriate analysis and interpretation of the presented data. Gaps and limitations are correctly addressed and the reasons for accomplishments and failures are identified.		
<b>PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED</b>		<b>PARAMETER 5</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 5</b>	Conclusions are based on findings and provide added value and insight to the evidence presented in the Findings section. The conclusions are particularly clearly presented according to each evaluation criteria; provide solutions to important problems faced by evaluation users in regards to the evaluated object; and present both strengths and weaknesses. On the other hand, lessons learned are not formally included in the report.		
<b>PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS</b>		<b>PARAMETER 6</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6</b>	Recommendations are relevant to the object and purpose of the evaluation. They are supported by evidence; identify the target group for each recommendation; and reflect an understanding of the commissioning organization and potential constraints. Also, the report refers to the process followed in developing the recommendations, including the level and type of consultation with stakeholders.		
<b>PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS</b>		<b>PARAMETER 7</b>	<b>Meets Requirements</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7</b>	Gender and Human-Rights perspectives are for the most part fully integrated in all of the evaluation processes and sufficiently discussed in the report. However, even though the integration of Human Rights and Gender Equality in the evaluation is explicitly mentioned in the description of the methodology, the report could have specified how the chosen methodology, i.e. methods, data collection tools, and data analysis were gender-responsive.		
<b>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</b>		<b>PARAMETER 8</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8</b>	The report is very well structured, logical, and easy to follow. The title page and opening pages contain all necessary elements and so do the annexes, which greatly increase the credibility of the report. The executive summary is slightly long (8 pages) but it can stand alone and inform decision-making.		