UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory

	Response		
Title of the Evaluation Report	**Endline Evaluation Report: Leveraging Technical Tools, Evidence and Community Engagement to Advance the Implementation of Laws and Provision of Services to Women Experiencing Violence in South-East Asia**		
Report sequence number	0	**Date of Review** 02 February 2017	**Year of the Evaluation Report** **2016**
Region	Asia and the Pacific	**Country(ies)**	Indonesia, Lao PDR, Timor Leste and Viet Nam
Executive Summary in Final Report	Good	**TORs sent with Report**	No
OVERALL RATING		**Overall Rating**	**Good**
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	This is a good quality report that can be used with confidence since it observes good practices in many of its sections. However, some elements that need to be further improved are: including quantitative data by using data collection methods such as surveys in order to duly validate the collected evidence; conclusions should underline weaknesses and not only refer to the strengths of the evaluated object; a general Lessons Learned section for the whole report should be included to underline the good practices pertaining to all realms of the object of evaluation and not only to one criterion; a description of the process followed in developing the recommendations and the level of stakeholders' participation in this is necessary to ensure the validity of the recommendations. Finally, the ToR needs to be consistently included in every evaluation report in order to ensure an accurate assessment.		
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION		**PARAMETER 1**	**Good**
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The evaluation presents a clear and complete description of the object of the evaluation. It includes a Theory of Change that is discussed in detail and clearly presented in a pictorial chart. Also, the evaluation report provides abundant information on the context in which the evaluated programme operated. The key stakeholders, including the implementing agencies, and the project's implementation status are also described.		
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE		**PARAMETER 2**	**Good**
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The evaluation's fivefold purpose, objectives and scope are thoroughly addressed in the evaluation report. The evaluation clearly explains the evaluation objectives and presents the main evaluation questions. The report also discusses the way in which a human rights and gender-responsive approach was included in the evaluation objectives and scope.		
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY		**PARAMETER 3**	**Good**
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The methodology used for the evaluation is clearly described and includes sufficient information on all aspects assessed in this parameter. Data collection methods, data sources, and the sampling frame as well as the rationale for selecting them are duly addressed. Also, the list of stakeholders consulted and the interview protocols are presented in the annexes. However, there is no mention		

	of the evaluation collecting quantitative data through surveys or other means. The evaluation explicitly discusses the extent to which the methods chosen are appropriate for analysing gender and human-rights considerations. Finally, the report is particularly strong at describing the evaluation design's ethical safeguards and mechanisms implemented throughout the evaluation process as well as the evaluating team's ethical obligations.	
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS	**PARAMETER 4**	**Good**
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The findings are clearly presented and structured around the evaluation criteria and questions. They are relevant and based on the objective use of a significant quantity of credible evidence. They respond directly to the evaluation criteria and questions, apart from being divided into subsections under each criterion, which contributes to clarity. Also, all findings reflect a systematic and appropriate analysis and interpretation of the presented data. Limitations are correctly addressed, unintended outcomes are discussed, and the reasons for accomplishments and failures are identified.	
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED	**PARAMETER 5**	**Satisfactory**
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The conclusions present pertinent insights to the evaluation object and provide added value to the findings. However, the conclusions only address the strengths of the object of evaluation and there is no discussion of any observed weaknesses. While the report presents Lessons Learned regarding the Effectiveness criteria, Lessons Learned that contribute to general knowledge and suggests how this knowledge can be applied to different contexts is missing from the report.	
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS	**PARAMETER 6**	**Good**
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The recommendations are relevant to the object and purpose of the evaluation. They are supported by evidence; identify the target group for each recommendation; and reflect an understanding of the commissioning organization and potential constraints. However, the report does not describe the process followed in developing the recommendations, including the level and type of consultation with stakeholders.	
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS	**PARAMETER 7**	**Approaching Requirements**
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	More discussion around GEEW and human rights in the findings, conclusions, and recommendations would be beneficial.	
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE	**PARAMETER 8**	**Satisfactory**
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	The report is well structured, logical, complete, and easy to follow. The title page and opening pages contain all of necessary elements and so do the annexes, with the exception of the ToR. The annexes overall greatly increase the credibility of the report. The executive summary can stand alone and inform decision-making.	