

**UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template**

<b>Overall Report Rating</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>
<b>Response</b>				
<b>Title of the Evaluation Report</b>	<b>Evaluation of Humanitarian Action in Arab States</b>			
<b>Report sequence number</b>	0	<b>Date of Review</b>	01 February 2018	<b>Year of the Evaluation Report</b>
<b>Region</b>	Arab States		<b>Country(ies)</b>	Jordan, Lebanon, Palestine
<b>Executive Summary in Final Report</b>	Fully		<b>TORs sent with Report</b>	Yes
<b>OVERALL RATING</b>			<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Overall Rating</b>	A strong report that addresses all of the UN Women standards; would only benefit from some more clarity around specific components, such as the sampling framework and the level of evidence (in addition to the lines of evidence) supporting or refuting each finding. Excellent use of annexes and HR/GE stakeholder analysis stands out.			
<b>PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION</b>			<b>PARAMETER 1</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	The main report provides regional overview; and the annexes provide an overview of each emergency plus a detailed stakeholder analysis. It would be optimal to have included some more of the stakeholder analysis in the introductory sections.			
<b>PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE</b>			<b>PARAMETER 2</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	The required elements of the purpose, objectives and scope are addressed systematically. There is concerted attention to a human rights base approach.			
<b>PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY</b>			<b>PARAMETER 3</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 3</b>	The methods are appropriate for a challenging evaluation scope and purpose. All of the key issues are addressed; although it would have been optimal to include more information in the annexes about the sampling framework (and how illustrative the final sample is of the overall portfolio); along with some concrete information on the tools and measures used to capture, process and preserve data quality.			
<b>PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 4</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 4</b>	The findings systematically address each of the evaluation questions; although they lean towards reporting only the result of the evaluative analysis and are not always clear on the level of evidence supporting, refuting or missing each finding.			
<b>PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED</b>			<b>PARAMETER 5</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 5</b>	The conclusions are systematic and address each of the evaluation criteria, adding depth to the analysis and speaking to the purpose of the evaluation. No lessons are presented.			
<b>PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 6</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6</b>	Recommendations are systematic and address the purpose of the evaluation. It would be ideal if the priorities in the executive summary were also reflected in the main text.			
<b>PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 7</b>	<b>Exceeds Requirements</b>

<p><b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7</b></p>	<p>GEEW is clearly included in the scope, objectives and the indicators for the evaluation (especially around effectiveness). The evaluation includes a specific section on GE/HR as well as included GEEW questions throughout the evaluation matrix. The evaluation applied a predominantly qualitative design, based on participatory data collection and surveys. The stakeholder analysis included identification of HR roles, and sampling considered gender groups. The case studies explicitly created opportunities for participation in data analysis and validation of findings. The findings, conclusions and recommendations all explicitly address GEEW in substantive terms as well as institutional.</p>		
<p><b>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</b></p>		<p><b>PARAMETER 8</b></p>	<p><b>Very Good</b></p>
<p><b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8</b></p>	<p>The evaluation report is comprehensive, logically arranged and well presented. It contains all of the required elements, and the annexes provide substantial extra value. The review notes that a brief for the evaluation was also produced.</p>		