

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
Response					
Title of the Evaluation Report	Final Evaluation of UN Women’s Project “Rural Women’s Food Security in Jordan”				
Report sequence number	0	Date of Review	01 March 2018	Year of the Evaluation Report	2017
Region	Arab States		Country(ies)	Jordan	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Fully		TORs sent with Report	Yes	
OVERALL RATING			Overall Rating	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	An evaluation based of a strong human rights based methodological approach, which exceeds many of the UN-Women standards, especially in relation to UN-SWAP. It would enefit from giving the same level of attention to sustainability as it gives to the other criteria, but nevertheless generates useful and reliable lessons and recommendations for the parties involved.				
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION			PARAMETER 1	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The report examines the programme, gender, and socio-political context of Jordan, and refers to the areas in which the programme was implemented. Key institutional stakeholders are listed; although this could have been further disaggregated, and key socially-defined groups could have been highlighted.				
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE			PARAMETER 2	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The purpose and objectives of the evaluation, but the scope is not elaborate beyond the reference to the programme itself. A richer explanation of the boundaries of the evaluation is warranted, such as elaborating about whether it examines the partnership between UN Women and FAO. The evaluation criteria are found dispersed throughout the report, they would benefit from being listed and defined in the introductory sections.				
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY			PARAMETER 3	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The report presents a comprehensive and thoughtfully designed evaluation approach; with appropriate attention given to: (1) triangulating mixed methods of data collection and data analysis, (2) ethics, and (3) gender equality and power.				
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS			PARAMETER 4	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	Findings systematically address the evaluation questions and criteria that are stated in the report. They combine qualitative evidence with quantitative results, and supplement this with the voice of participants. The level of evidence used for each finding is clearly stated.				
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED			PARAMETER 5	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	Conclusions are clerly derived from the findings and add significant value to the analysis. Lessons are also appropriately derived and presented. The conclusions do not, however, directly address sustainability– which is one of the four evaluation criteria.				
PARAMETER 6:RECOMMENDATIONS			PARAMETER 6	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	Recommendations are addressed to each of the main stakeholders; and are given a proirity (althoughmost are 'high priority' recommendations, which reduces the usefulness of this). Recommendations are grounded in the conclusions and lessons.				
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS			PARAMETER 7	Exceeds Requirements	

<p>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7</p>	<p>GEEW is clearly included in the scope and objectives of the evaluation, it is also included in the evaluation framework indicators (although mainly relating to alignment with GEEW policy rather than examining social norms or structural conditions). GEEW is mainstreamed throughout the evaluation matrix through specific questions. The methods use a mix of qualitative and quantified approaches, with attention paid to disaggregating responses from different gender groups in order to triangulate multiple perspectives and interrogate women's empowerment. The findings, conclusions and recommendations all include reference to the specific contributions of the project to outcomes for women. They also discuss the involvement of men as agents of change for GEEW.</p>	
<p>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</p>	<p>PARAMETER 8</p>	<p>Very Good</p>
<p>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8</p>	<p>Meets UN-Women standards: a logical and well-written report.</p>	