### Executive Summary

This is a comprehensive and systematic qualitative evaluation, that acknowledges the limitations of the design and develops a useful set of recommendations. Some greater transparency around couple of issues could have further strengthened a good overall report.

### PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION

The report has a very useful discussion on the object of the evaluation; however, wider contextual background is largely missing. The results of the project are listed, and the theory of change is discussed from an analytical perspective, but the report misses the opportunity to actually present this theory of change. It would also have been helpful to include the budget of the project.

### PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE

The report includes an excellent presentation of the primary intended users and uses of the evaluation. The evaluation criteria are included in the objectives, but it would have been helpful to define them more clearly.

### PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY

The evaluation uses a qualitative approach, acknowledging the limitations of this and explaining the justification. Useful levels of detail are provided for each of the elements of the method.

### PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS

The findings are systematic in addressing the evaluation matrix. However, they are not always fully transparent in presenting the evidence: for example, making statements such as "Analysis shows..." without explaining what analysis, or stating that there was "consensus" without explaining among who.

### PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED

Conclusions are comprehensive and clearly link back to findings. Lessons are also provided.

### PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendations are firmly grounded in the finding; but it is less clear around how they were validated.

### PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS

GEWE is included across the specific objectives of the evaluation, and within the scope. No evaluation matrix of indicators beyond the results framework for the project is included. Questions relating to gender equality and human rights are explicitly included under each of the evaluation criteria. The evaluation adopts a qualitative design and set of methods. These triangulate several different sources of data, including the voices of rights holders. Participatory approaches are primarily concerned with data collection; without a clear mechanism for supporting participation within the analysis stage. A gender analysis informs findings under all of the evaluation criteria; and this is continued through to the conclusions and recommendations sections.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8</th>
<th>The evaluation is logically arranged and well written.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</td>
<td>PARAMETER 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>