

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
------------------------------	------------------	-------------	---------------------	-----------------------

Response	
Title of the Evaluation Report	Thematic Evaluation of UN Women’s Contribution to Women’s Leadership and Political Participation in Egypt
Report sequence number	0
Date of Review	01 February 2018
Year of the Evaluation Report	2017
Region	Arab States
Country(ies)	Egypt
Executive Summary in Final Report	Fully
TORs sent with Report	Yes
OVERALL RATING	
Overall Rating	Very Good
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	For such a comprehensive report, this is surprisingly concise – a feature which supports usability. Aside from a small number of areas in which it could be further strengthened, the report exceeds UN Women and UNEG standards.
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION	PARAMETER 1
Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The report has strong opening sections, with analysis of both the theories of change and the results frameworks for the thematic area. While it refers to the annex, there is scope to include more concrete details on the projects, funds and stakeholders that constituted the thematic work on WPP.
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE	PARAMETER 2
Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	A clearly written, precise and comprehensive section. Would have been even stronger had there been a set of definitions provided for the evaluation criteria.
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY	PARAMETER 3
Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The methods section is systematic, comprehensive and well explained. All necessary elements are included.
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS	PARAMETER 4
Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The findings are systematic, dealing with each of the evaluation questions and providing well triangulated evidence.
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED	PARAMETER 5
Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	Conclusions are robust and clearly linked to findings; synthesising each set of findings and then finalising with a 'meta-conclusion'. However, they are brief, and greater analysis could have further strengthened the insights provided by the conclusions.
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS	PARAMETER 6
Very Good	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	Recommendations are comprehensive, clearly grounded in the evidence, and were transparently developed with stakeholder inputs.
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS	PARAMETER 7
Exceeds Requirements	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	GEEW is included in the scope and objectives of the evaluation; no evaluation indicators are provided in the report. GE/HR is addressed as a cross-cutting issue in the evaluation matrix, with questions clearly addressing GEEW. A Gender equality and Human Rights (GEHR) analysis framework, as a systematic approach, was adopted to examine the programme factors that assessed and promoted gender equality issues and helped provide an analysis of the structures of political and social control that create gender inequality, to extract more gender themes, and to enable deeper analysis of the effectiveness of gender results and the type of gender change, the analysis used the Gender Results Effectiveness Scale (GRES) and classified the data on the results against 3 scales from the 5 categories found in this scale, as they were found relevant to this evaluation, namely: gender targeted, gender responsive and gender transformative. The findings, conclusions and recommendations systematically address GEEW in terms of both institutional capacity and analysis of transformative strategies.

PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE	PARAMETER 8	Very Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	This is a well written, carefully presented and comprehensive report.	