

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
Response					
Title of the Evaluation Report	EVALUATION OF UN WOMEN AFGHANISTAN'S PORTFOLIO ON ENDING VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN (2014 -2016)				
Report sequence number	0	Date of Review	01 February 2018	Year of the Evaluation Report	2017
Region	Asia and the Pacific		Country(ies)	Afghanistan	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Fully		TORs sent with Report	Yes	
OVERALL RATING			Overall Rating	Good	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	This is a systematic evaluation of a thematic pillar that benefits from a strong purpose and carefully justified approach. The main gaps are a missing conclusions section, limited discussion of unexpected findings, and poor explanation of sampling. Nevertheless, there is good use of evidence to create a justifiable and useful set of recommendations.				
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION			PARAMETER 1	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The report provides relevant and helpful context that aids understanding of the later analysis. It includes a useful comparison of the results frameworks covered by the scope of the evaluation; but it would have been helpful to have complemented this with a discussion on the theories of change behind the results framework.				
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE			PARAMETER 2	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The purpose is described and justified at length (in two places), while the objectives and the scope are clearly articulated based on the terms of reference. The main gap in the report is a detailed explanation of the evaluation framework, including definitions of the evaluation criteria.				
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY			PARAMETER 3	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The methods section includes a lot of useful explanation; and is largely comprehensive in terms of design and methods. The choice of evaluation approach is well justified, and the main limitations are acknowledged. The main gap in this section is a detailed discussion of sampling.				
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS			PARAMETER 4	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The findings systematically respond to the evaluation questions and the report is clear about limitations in the evidence. There is scope for more attention to unexpected effects of the interventions, both positive and negative.				
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED			PARAMETER 5	Unsatisfactory	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The evaluation report is missing a conclusions section, which is required under the UN-Women standards.				
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS			PARAMETER 6	Good	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The report develops an interesting set of recommendations that deal with both the strategic insight of the evaluation, and management issues such as the M&E system.				
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS			PARAMETER 7	Meets Requirements	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	<p>The evaluation clearly includes GEEW in the scope of analysis - including a standalone objective and a focus on VAW. The evaluation framework includes a standalone criterion on GE/HR, along with 3 questions; but the evaluation framework does not include any indicators (the results framework of the object does include indicators related to EVAW). The evaluation includes both standalone criteria and questions on GE/HR, along with reference to VAW in some of the other evaluation criteria and questions.</p> <p>The evaluation was limited by very constrained time. Within these constraints the evaluation applied a robust participatory (mostly qualitative) design to ensure the voice of institutional stakeholders was equitably included. Appropriate ethical standards were applied given the subject of the evaluation.</p> <p>Within the constraints of the evidence the evaluation provides a systematic gender analysis and applies a human rights based approach to considering the extent to which the evaluation object addressed the root causes of VAW. It also examines the institutional systems and structures from a gender perspective; and makes appropriate conclusions and recommendations to strengthen GEEW.</p>				
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE			PARAMETER 8	Good	

**Executive Feedback on
PARAMETER 8**

The report is well written and clearly laid out. Some of the pupose section repeats itself, but this is not major. The main gaps are a conclusions section and an evaluation framework.