

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
Response					
Title of the Evaluation Report	Creating one-stop-shop for sustainable businesses final evaluation				
Report sequence number	0	Date of Review	01 February 2018	Year of the Evaluation Report	2017
Region	Arab States		Country(ies)	Palestine	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Fully		TORs sent with Report	Yes	
OVERALL RATING			Overall Rating	Good	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	A very well presented report that is clearly produced with users in mind. There are no fundamental gaps; but there are several opportunities to expand the level of detail provided, and to be slightly more transparent with the level of evidence supporting each finding. In most cases these could be addressed by more extensive use of the annexes, so as not to add substantial length to what is a concise and accessible main report.				
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION			PARAMETER 1	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The report is concise and to-the-point; but nevertheless manages to cover a lot of ground in terms of context and the evaluation object. The explanation of the staff inputs is particularly notable.				
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE			PARAMETER 2	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The opening chapter covers the purpose and objectives of the evaluation. While the evaluation criteria are not defined in the main report, a full evaluation matrix is included in the annexes. The scope is not addressed separately, but a detailed read of the methodology finds that the scope is addressed in that section of the report.				
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY			PARAMETER 3	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The methodology covers all of the requirements of the standards: with an appropriate design supported by relevant qualitative methods and information on sampling, participation, ethics, and gender. The conciseness of this section is impressive given the richness of the evaluation; but it also limits the level of insight given into specific aspects of the evaluation, such as the sample frame, the data collection tools, and the concrete application of ethics standards. The report would therefore have benefited from including a more detailed description of the methods as an annex.				
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS			PARAMETER 4	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The findings are systematic in addressing the evaluation questions, making good use of sub-headings to aid readability and improve usefulness. Nearly all findings draw on multiple lines and levels of evidence, although the writing style often gives the overall evaluative finding statement without fully revealing the precise evidence - and level of confidence - behind this judgement. Nevertheless, the evaluation report does main its 'utilisation-focus' and provides the most crucial insights in a way that is likely to meet the needs of managers.				
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED			PARAMETER 5	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	Conclusions systematically address each of the criteria; and lessons are appropriately identified and generalised.				
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS			PARAMETER 6	Good	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	Recommendations are presented in a table, alongside their rationale. They are clearly targeted; although the precise process for arriving at, and validating, these recommendations is not fully explained.				
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS			PARAMETER 7	Meets Requirements	

Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	<p>GEEW is included as a specific cross cutting consideration, and is given a specific place in the evaluation matrix with 2 questions and 4 indicators. Approx 4 other indicators in the evaluation matrix also reference GEEW, but this is overly limited given the large number of indicators – the majority of which could have specifically referenced GEEW. The evaluation matrix includes GE/HR as a cross cutting consideration, with a couple of standalone questions to respond to this. The integration of gender into the rest of the evaluation criteria is more limited. The evaluation committed to human rights (HR) and gender equality (GE) sensitive processes in its conduct. This had two aspects: firstly, the substantive aspects related to HR/GE issues within the JP; and secondly, the application</p>		
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE		PARAMETER 8	Very Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	<p>A very well written report, with intentionally selected information presented in clear and easy-to-use language.</p>		