

**UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template**

<b>Overall Report Rating</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>
------------------------------	------------------	-------------	---------------------	-----------------------

	<b>Response</b>				
<b>Title of the Evaluation Report</b>	<b>Final Report “Institutional Strengthening of Gender Equality and Women’s Empowerment in Timor Leste” 2013-2016</b>				
<b>Report sequence number</b>	0	<b>Date of Review</b>	February 26th, 2018	<b>Year of the Evaluation Report</b>	2017
<b>Region</b>	Asia and the Pacific		<b>Country(ies)</b>	Timor Leste	
<b>Executive Summary in Final Report</b>	Mostly		<b>TORs sent with Report</b>	Yes	
<b>OVERALL RATING</b>			<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Overall Rating</b>	This is overall a good evaluation report that can be used with confidence. It would be recommended however to include an evaluation matrix that presents the evaluation criteria, questions, data sources, etc in one table for clarity purposes. Also, lessons learned constitute an important contribution to general knowledge and must be duly identified and generalized in order to provide useful insight to UN Women and other stakeholders for future programming.				
<b>PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION</b>			<b>PARAMETER 1</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	The report does a good job at clearly explaining the situation on gender equality and the particular challenges faced by women in Timor Leste as well as the work done by UN Women in the country. A theory of change is presented that describes the inputs, outputs and outcomes, as well as the way the initiative expected to have an impact through the implementation of the project.				
<b>PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE</b>			<b>PARAMETER 2</b>	<b>Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	The report discusses the rationale and the purpose of the evaluation as well as the specific ways the end users will use it. Furthermore, the evaluation objectives are clearly listed including the main evaluation questions. Even though the evaluation criteria are mentioned, no rationale is provided to justify the choices made in this sense or to explain why the impact criterion was not included. Similarly, the evaluation matrix is not included in the annexes or in the body of the report. On the other hand, the evaluation scope both chronologically and in terms of geographic coverage is explained. Finally, only some of the evaluation questions are presented.				
<b>PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY</b>			<b>PARAMETER 3</b>	<b>Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 3</b>	The methodology discusses the methods and data collection tools used in order to collect the information which are described in detail. Similarly, the data sources for each case are described as well as the sampling frame. However, the latter could have been better justified. The level of stakeholder consultation and participation in the evaluation process is clearly addressed. Also, the methodology describes the ethical safeguards during the evaluation and the way gender and human rights considerations were included in the methodology.				
<b>PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 4</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 4</b>	The findings respond to the evaluation criteria and they are presented against progress on development goals, including indicators and clearly differentiating between output and outcome levels. The findings reflect a good level of analysis and diverse sources of evidence are provided to support them. Similarly, the limitations in the data is addressed along with mitigation strategies used to overcome them in each case.				
<b>PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED</b>			<b>PARAMETER 5</b>	<b>Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 5</b>	The conclusions are logically derived from the findings and provide further analytical insight. They are clearly presented in a table format according to the evaluation criteria and providing a score indicating the level to which the outcomes listed were successfully achieved or not. They highlight programmatic strengths and areas for improvement. Finally, lessons learned are not explicitly discussed in the report.				
<b>PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 6</b>	<b>Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6</b>	The recommendations are based on the information presented in the findings and conclusions and they are relevant to the objectives and purposes of the evaluation. The recommendations correctly identify the target groups for action and reflect a good understanding of the commissioning organization. However, the process followed in developing the recommendations is not described.				
<b>PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 7</b>	<b>Meets Requirements</b>	

<p><b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7</b></p>	<p>Evaluation objectives include one reference to gender mainstreaming, but otherwise depend on the evaluation object to ensure coverage of GEEW. Evaluation questions implicitly refer to gender in terms of effectiveness referencing the gender mainstreaming objectives of the evaluation. There are no evaluation indicators, but the questions in data collection tools specifically address gender. The qualitative design of the evaluation included participatory data collection, including rights holders; and a much more limited level of participation in data validation through the reference group. There is no evidence of participation during data analysis. rights and gender equality; and the findings, conclusions and recommendations extensively discuss institutionalising gender in national institutions.</p>		
<p><b>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</b></p>		<p><b>PARAMETER 8</b></p>	<p><b>Good</b></p>
<p><b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8</b></p>	<p>The report follows a logic structure, is correctly synthesized in 43 pages and the information is clearly presented, which makes it easy to navigate. The introductory pages include all of the necessary elements to quickly inform the reader of the main points regarding the evaluation and the project. Similarly, the executive summary can correctly inform the end users without having to consult the rest of the report. However, the executive summary is rather lengthy (9 pages). Finally, the annexes include all necessary elements and greatly increase the overall credibility of the report.</p>		