

**UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template**

<b>Overall Report Rating</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	
<b>Response</b>					
<b>Title of the Evaluation Report</b>	<b>Evaluation of Equality for Progress (E4P) and Planim Save Kamap Strongpela (Plant Knowledge, Grow Strong)</b>				
<b>Report sequence number</b>	0	<b>Date of Review</b>	01 February 2018	<b>Year of the Evaluation Report</b>	2017
<b>Region</b>	Asia and the Pacific		<b>Country(ies)</b>	Papua New Guinea	
<b>Executive Summary in Final Report</b>	Fully		<b>TORs sent with Report</b>	Yes	
<b>OVERALL RATING</b>			<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Overall Rating</b>	This report provides a comprehensive view of two projects based mostly on qualitative analysis. It develops some useful lessons, in addition to a set of recommendations that are derived from stakeholders in the field as well as the evaluation team analysis.				
<b>PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION</b>			<b>PARAMETER 1</b>	<b>Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	Information about the two evaluated projects is provided, and this is useful. The overview of the situation analysis in PNG is very short, and it would be optimal to provide more detail. A disaggregated analysis of stakeholders would be helpful.				
<b>PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE</b>			<b>PARAMETER 2</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	The report discusses the main purpose of the evaluation, although would benefit from also including a discussion on the primary intended users and uses. Objectives are not explicitly addressed, and neither is scope; although information about both can be implied from the discussion of the terms of reference.				
<b>PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY</b>			<b>PARAMETER 3</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 3</b>	The methods are well described; although more detail would be optimal in terms of the sampling frame, the overall design logic of the evaluation, and the concrete application of the ethics standards that are referenced.				
<b>PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 4</b>	<b>Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 4</b>	The findings are systematic in addressing the evaluation criteria. They tend towards stating what the finding is, without always fully explaining how it was arrived at (i.e. the evidence that supports the finding). In this regard, greater explanation of the level of evidence supporting or refuting each finding would help strengthen the report.				
<b>PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED</b>			<b>PARAMETER 5</b>	<b>Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 5</b>	Conclusions are systematic and respond to the criteria. However, they are quite high level and would benefit from a discussion of the implications for the Partnership as well as the agencies involved. Lessons are useful.				
<b>PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 6</b>	<b>Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6</b>	Recommendations are relevant to the purpose of the evaluation.				
<b>PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 7</b>	<b>Exceeds Requirements</b>	

<p><b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7</b></p>	<p>GEEW is included explicitly within the objectives of the evaluation, and implied in the scope due to the evaluation object. The evaluation indicators are participatory, but general; and so do not fully guarantee examination of gender equality and women's empowerment.</p> <p>Evaluation questions under relevance and effectiveness explicitly address GEEW.</p> <p>The qualitative design of the evaluation triangulated multiple lines and levels of evidence. The validation and conclusions workshop ensured the participation of stakeholders in data analysis as well as in data collection.</p> <p>The findings, conclusions and the recommendations address both the project process and the outcomes for women.</p>	
<p><b>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</b></p>	<p><b>PARAMETER 8</b></p>	<p><b>Very Good</b></p>
<p><b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8</b></p>	<p>The report is clearly presented, logical and comprehensive.</p>	