

## **UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template**

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfac	ctory	Unsatisfactory	
	Response					
Title of the Evaluation Report	Final Evaluation of the 2nd Phase EVAW Programme: Promote Efforts for National Legislation on Domestic Violence and Upscale the Multi-sector Model in China					
Report sequence number	0	Date of Review 01 February 20	Year of Evaluat	the ion Report	2017	
Region	Asia and the Pacific		Country	(ies)	China	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Fully		TORs so Report	ent with	Yes	
	OVERALL RATING		Overall	Rating	Good	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	This is strong report that appli applies a user-centred approac criteria and hypotheses. With t of its intended purpose within	h to presenting the evaluation the addition of lessons learned	n findings, while ma	intaining a di	rect link to the evaluatior	
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND C	CONTEXT OF THE EVALUA	TION	PARAM	ETER 1	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2 PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OB,	The report includes an exceller but brief, background information of the control		titutional stakehold	ers are also ide		
PARAMETER 2: PURPUSE, UB.		and and Caller and a LINING / LINIT	PARAM	ETER 2		
E	This is a comprehensive section	ii tilat lully lileets Olvw/ Olvi	d standards.			
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2						
	GY		PARAM	ETER 3	Good	
Parameter 2 PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOG	The methods section is a clear and analysis tools. The chosen of the inherent limitations of the port is light on details about gender analysis rather than details about gender analysis rather than details.	methods and the constraints ne methods themselves (not j sampling,; and although ther	vith a justifiable con faced by the evaluat ust the application) e is an ethics sub-se	nbination of eviction are well dimight also hare	valuation data collection escribed; although some ve been highlighted. The	
Parameter 2  PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOG  Executive Feedback on	The methods section is a clear and analysis tools. The chosen of the inherent limitations of the report is light on details about	methods and the constraints ne methods themselves (not j sampling,; and although ther	vith a justifiable con faced by the evaluat ust the application) e is an ethics sub-se	nbination of eviton are well d might also harction, it deals	valuation data collection escribed; although some ve been highlighted. The	
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOG  Executive Feedback on  Parameter 3  PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS  Executive Feedback on	The methods section is a clear and analysis tools. The chosen of the inherent limitations of the report is light on details about	methods and the constraints ne methods themselves (not j sampling,; and although ther scribing how the evaluation s novel way, through sections t e key findings and evidence a	vith a justifiable confaced by the evaluatust the application) e is an ethics sub-secought to avoid harm  PARAM  hat it is assumed ret the end of the repo	abination of evice and are well domight also have ction, it deals between the ction of the ction	valuation data collection escribed; although some ve been highlighted. The mainly with the need for Very Good that are most useful to evaluation criteria and	
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOG  Executive Feedback on  Parameter 3  PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS  Executive Feedback on  Parameter 4	The methods section is a clear and analysis tools. The chosen of the inherent limitations of the report is light on details about gender analysis rather than details. The findings are arranged in a users. They also consolidate the evaluation hypotheses. This evaluation framework.	methods and the constraints ne methods themselves (not j sampling,; and although the scribing how the evaluation seribing how the evaluation s	vith a justifiable confaced by the evaluatust the application) e is an ethics sub-secought to avoid harm  PARAM  hat it is assumed ret the end of the repo	abination of evition are well dimight also has ction, it deals .  ETER 4  Late to themes ort around the sility and syste	valuation data collection escribed; although some ve been highlighted. The mainly with the need for Very Good that are most useful to evaluation criteria and	
Parameter 2  PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOG  Executive Feedback on Parameter 3  PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS	The methods section is a clear and analysis tools. The chosen of the inherent limitations of the report is light on details about gender analysis rather than details. The findings are arranged in a users. They also consolidate the evaluation hypotheses. This evaluation framework.	methods and the constraints ne methods themselves (not j sampling,; and although themseribing how the evaluation something how the evaluation something how through sections to ekey findings and evidence as approach strikes a good baland draw directly on the finding	vith a justifiable confaced by the evaluatust the application) e is an ethics sub-secught to avoid harm  PARAM hat it is assumed ret the end of the repeance between useab  PARAM PARAM s, although are relative.	ibination of evition are well displayed also has ction, it deals between the mean of the m	valuation data collection escribed; although some ve been highlighted. The mainly with the need for  Very Good  that are most useful to evaluation criteria and matic use of the  Good el and concise. Deeper	
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOG  Executive Feedback on  Parameter 3  PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS  Executive Feedback on  Parameter 4  PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS	The methods section is a clear and analysis tools. The chosen of the inherent limitations of the report is light on details about gender analysis rather than deserted.  The findings are arranged in a users. They also consolidate the evaluation hypotheses. This evaluation framework.  SAND LESSONS LEARNED  The conclusions are robust and analysis of the implications and learned are provided.	methods and the constraints ne methods themselves (not j sampling,; and although themseribing how the evaluation something how the evaluation something how through sections to ekey findings and evidence as approach strikes a good baland draw directly on the finding	vith a justifiable confaced by the evaluatust the application) e is an ethics sub-secought to avoid harm  PARAM hat it is assumed ret the end of the repeature between useab  PARAM parameters, although are relatedings would have a	ibination of evition are well displayed also has ction, it deals between the mean of the m	valuation data collection escribed; although some ve been highlighted. The mainly with the need for  Very Good  that are most useful to evaluation criteria and matic use of the  Good el and concise. Deeper	
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOG  Executive Feedback on  Parameter 3  PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS  Executive Feedback on  Parameter 4  PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS  Executive Feedback on  Parameter 5	The methods section is a clear and analysis tools. The chosen of the inherent limitations of the report is light on details about gender analysis rather than deserted.  The findings are arranged in a users. They also consolidate the evaluation hypotheses. This evaluation framework.  SAND LESSONS LEARNED  The conclusions are robust and analysis of the implications and learned are provided.	methods and the constraints ne methods themselves (not j sampling,; and although themselves in the scribing how the evaluation sometimes are set in the sections to the key findings and evidence as approach strikes a good baled draw directly on the finding d underlying drivers of the firmly linked to the findings, and	parametric properties of the evaluation of the application) e is an ethics sub-section ought to avoid harm parametric parametric parametric properties of the repetance between useab parametric param	bination of evition are well domight also has ction, it deals to themes ort around the dility and system of the deal of the de	valuation data collection escribed; although some ve been highlighted. The mainly with the need for  Very Good  that are most useful to evaluation criteria and matic use of the  Good el and concise. Deeper al value. No lessons  Good g to different levels. The	

Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	no indicators are provided in the evaluation matrix.  Gender and human rights are fully mainstreamed throughout the evaluation of impact is also entirely orienttaed toward gender equality are used, and these are informed by a gender lens.  The evaluation applies a participatory approach and maximises the v constraints of the time and access that the evaluation had. Methods v dimensions.  The findings continuously apply gender analysis across all of the crite	Gender and human rights are fully mainstreamed throughout the evaluation criteria, with specific questions. The definition of impact is also entirely orienttaed toward gender equality. Additional criteria to the OECD DAC standards are used, and these are informed by a gender lens.  The evaluation applies a participatory approach and maximises the voice of different stakeholders within the constraints of the time and access that the evaluation had. Methods were systematically triangulated to examine gender dimensions.  The findings continuously apply gender analysis across all of the criteria and questions. While the conclusions are somewhat brief and only implicitly informed by the gender analysis, the recommendations explicitly and fully address a				
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE		PARAMETER 8	Good			
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8  This is a well written and systematically structured report. The annexes would have benefited from an additional section on the deatiled evaluation methods from the inception report.						