

**UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template**

<b>Overall Report Rating</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	
<b>Response</b>					
<b>Title of the Evaluation Report</b>	<b>Promoting and Protecting Women Migrant Workers' Labour and Human Rights: Engaging with International, National Human Rights Mechanisms to Enhance Accountability</b>				
<b>Report sequence number</b>	0	<b>Date of Review</b>	01 February 2018	<b>Year of the Evaluation Report</b>	2017
<b>Region</b>	Corporate (HQ)		<b>Country(ies)</b>	Mexico, Moldova, The Philippines.	
<b>Executive Summary in Final Report</b>	Mostly		<b>TORs sent with Report</b>	Yes	
<b>OVERALL RATING</b>			<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Overall Rating</b>	This is a well-rounded report with a comprehensive set of findings and conclusions. It could be strengthened further with greater specificity around the methods, and some broader context.				
<b>PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION</b>			<b>PARAMETER 1</b>	<b>Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	The report covers most of the key areas required in the standards, but provides limited depth of information. For example, more analysis could have been provided on the dynamics of WMW in the three countries (social groups, drivers, destinations, employment sectors) and the institutions with a duty bearing role in the migration process.				
<b>PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE</b>			<b>PARAMETER 2</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	The purpose and objectives are clearly stated; and the criteria and questions are fully explained by the report.				
<b>PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY</b>			<b>PARAMETER 3</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 3</b>	The methods contain a lot of useful data; but are missing an overall cohesive evaluation design or approach to explain how they are combined into robust evaluative analysis. There is also scope for more detail on sampling frames and approaches, the concrete application of ethics standards, and the means by which data was captured and processed.				
<b>PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 4</b>	<b>Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 4</b>	The findings address all of the evaluation criteria, and refer directly to the results framework of the programme. In several cases the report presents a list of activities, followed (or preceded) by the overall finding; but without showing all of the analytical process and evidence from which this was derived.				
<b>PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED</b>			<b>PARAMETER 5</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 5</b>	The conclusions are comprehensive and address both the evaluation criteria and examine the strategic implications. In some cases, such as the conclusion on OHCHR partnership the conclusions stray into being written as recommendations; but the underlying analysis holds.				
<b>PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 6</b>	<b>Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6</b>	The recommendations are clearly derived from the findings; although this link could be more clearly articulated by listing the findings behind each recommendation. There are also options for more clearly indicating the priority that each recommendation attracts; and the likely resource implications for implementation.				
<b>PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 7</b>	<b>Meets Requirements</b>	

<p><b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7</b></p>	<p>GEEW and HRBA is fully integrated into the scope and objectives of the evaluation. However, the evaluation matrix does not include indicators - so this aspect is not in evidence in the report.</p> <p>The evaluation includes gender-specific questions and addresses GE/HR as a cross-cutting area. In addition, the standard questions imply a gender analysis due to the evaluation object.</p> <p>The participatory methodology references the UNEG oevaluation standards from integrating GE/HR. The methods are primarily qualitative, with some quantified aspects; and are sufficient to identify gender equality and women's empowerment dimensions. The participation in the analysis stage was, however, limited to existing power holders.</p> <p>The fundings include gender analysis in both the mainstream questions, and under a specific section. The conclusions includes specific reference to GEEW; and while the recommendations are almost entire institutional they do address the capability gaps in advancing GEEW identified in the rest of the report.</p>	
<p><b>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</b></p>	<p><b>PARAMETER 8</b></p>	<p><b>Good</b></p>
<p><b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8</b></p>	<p>The report is comprehensive and logical; the executive summary could be strengthened with the addition of the recommendations.</p>	