Methodology:

3.1 Methodology

The evaluation methodology is clear, realistic (e.g., reflects an understanding of the subject's potential constraints to follow-up actions) and actionable. Fully

Findings

4.2 Findings

Findings are clearly supported by and respond to the evidence presented, reflecting systematic and appropriate evaluation questions and criteria.

4.1 The evaluation report findings provide sufficient levels of high quality evidence to systematically address all of the evaluation questions and criteria.

Evaluation

5.2 The conclusions reflect reasonable evaluative judgments that add insight and analysis beyond the findings presented, and properly reflect on the evaluation findings and evidence.

Conclusions

5.3 Conclusions present an analysis of how they can be applied to different contexts and/or different sectors, and take into account evidential limitations such as timeframe and geographic coverage.

Ethics

3.3 Ethics

The evaluation report includes a discussion of the extent to which the evaluation design and geographic areas for political or societal reasons at the time of the evaluation, lack of data (evidence on particular elements of the intervention).

Purpose and scope

2: Purpose and scope

The evaluation report provides clear description of the scope of the evaluation, including justification of what the evaluation covered and did not cover (thematic, geographically etc) as well as reasons for the scope (e.g., specifications by the UN that lack access to particular geographic areas for political or societal reasons at the time of the evaluation, lack of data (evidence on particular elements of the intervention)).

Sections

PART III: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS

5: Conclusions and lessons learned

The conclusions reflect reasonable evaluative judgments that add insight and analysis beyond the findings presented, and properly reflect on the evaluation findings and evidence.

5: Conclusions

Conclusions present an analysis of how they can be applied to different contexts and/or different sectors, and take into account evidential limitations such as timeframe and geographic coverage.

3: Methodology

15: Methodology

The evaluation report provides sufficient levels of high quality evidence to systematically address all of the evaluation questions and criteria. Fully

3: Evaluation methodology

The evaluation report provides clear description of the scope of the evaluation, including justification of what the evaluation covered and did not cover (thematic, geographically etc) as well as reasons for the scope (e.g., specifications by the UN that lack access to particular geographic areas for political or societal reasons at the time of the evaluation, lack of data (evidence on particular elements of the intervention)).

2: Purpose

15: Purpose

The evaluation report provides a complete description of stakeholder's role in the evaluation process, including the rationale for selecting each and the methods used.

PART II: METHODOLOGY

3: Methodology

The evaluation report provides clear description of the scope of the evaluation, including justification of what the evaluation covered and did not cover (thematic, geographically etc) as well as reasons for the scope (e.g., specifications by the UN that lack access to particular geographic areas for political or societal reasons at the time of the evaluation, lack of data (evidence on particular elements of the intervention)).

1: Methodology

The report specifies and provides complete description of a selected design and sets of methods including the chosen evaluation criteria, questions, and performance standards. The methods employed are appropriate for analyzing gender and human rights issues identified in the evaluation scope.

1: Methodology

The report specifies and provides complete description of a selected design and sets of methods including the chosen evaluation criteria, questions, and performance standards. The methods employed are appropriate for analyzing gender and human rights issues identified in the evaluation scope.

PART I: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION

1: Object and context of the evaluation

The evaluation report provides a complete description of the object of the evaluation, a clearly stated rationale for selecting the object and the methods used to address the information needs.

4: Recommendations

6: Recommendations

Recommendations are clear, realistic (e.g., reflect an understanding of the subject's potential constraints to follow-up actions) and actionable. Fully

5: Conclusions

5: Conclusions

Conclusions present an analysis of how they can be applied to different contexts and/or different sectors, and take into account evidential limitations such as timeframe and geographic coverage.

1: Object and context of the evaluation

The evaluation report provides a clear description of the object of the evaluation, a clearly stated rationale for selecting the object and the methods used to address the information needs.

5: Conclusions

5: Conclusions

Conclusions present an analysis of how they can be applied to different contexts and/or different sectors, and take into account evidential limitations such as timeframe and geographic coverage.

2: Purpose and scope

2: Purpose and scope

The evaluation report provides clear description of the scope of the evaluation, including justification of what the evaluation covered and did not cover (thematic, geographically etc) as well as reasons for the scope (e.g., specifications by the UN that lack access to particular geographic areas for political or societal reasons at the time of the evaluation, lack of data (evidence on particular elements of the intervention)).

Evaluation

5: Evaluation

Evaluation is clear, supported by and responds to the evidence presented, reflecting systematic and appropriate evaluation questions and criteria.

Methodology

3: Methodology

The evaluation report provides clear description of the scope of the evaluation, including justification of what the evaluation covered and did not cover (thematic, geographically etc) as well as reasons for the scope (e.g., specifications by the UN that lack access to particular geographic areas for political or societal reasons at the time of the evaluation, lack of data (evidence on particular elements of the intervention)).

Purpose

2: Purpose

2: Purpose

The evaluation report provides a complete description of the object of the evaluation, a clearly stated rationale for selecting the object and the methods used to address the information needs.

1: Object and context of the evaluation

The evaluation report provides a clear description of the object of the evaluation, a clearly stated rationale for selecting the object and the methods used to address the information needs.

Methodology

3: Methodology

The evaluation report provides clear description of the scope of the evaluation, including justification of what the evaluation covered and did not cover (thematic, geographically etc) as well as reasons for the scope (e.g., specifications by the UN that lack access to particular geographic areas for political or societal reasons at the time of the evaluation, lack of data (evidence on particular elements of the intervention)).

Purpose

2: Purpose

2: Purpose

The evaluation report provides a complete description of the object of the evaluation, a clearly stated rationale for selecting the object and the methods used to address the information needs.

1: Object and context of the evaluation

The evaluation report provides a clear description of the object of the evaluation, a clearly stated rationale for selecting the object and the methods used to address the information needs.

Methodology

3: Methodology

The evaluation report provides clear description of the scope of the evaluation, including justification of what the evaluation covered and did not cover (thematic, geographically etc) as well as reasons for the scope (e.g., specifications by the UN that lack access to particular geographic areas for political or societal reasons at the time of the evaluation, lack of data (evidence on particular elements of the intervention)).

Purpose

2: Purpose

2: Purpose

The evaluation report provides a complete description of the object of the evaluation, a clearly stated rationale for selecting the object and the methods used to address the information needs.

1: Object and context of the evaluation

The evaluation report provides a clear description of the object of the evaluation, a clearly stated rationale for selecting the object and the methods used to address the information needs.

Methodology

3: Methodology

The evaluation report provides clear description of the scope of the evaluation, including justification of what the evaluation covered and did not cover (thematic, geographically etc) as well as reasons for the scope (e.g., specifications by the UN that lack access to particular geographic areas for political or societal reasons at the time of the evaluation, lack of data (evidence on particular elements of the intervention)).

Purpose

2: Purpose

2: Purpose

The evaluation report provides a complete description of the object of the evaluation, a clearly stated rationale for selecting the object and the methods used to address the information needs.
### SECTION II. HUMAN RIGHTS (weight 15%)

**Rating**: Fully integrated (3)

#### Section II.1: The evaluation purpose, objectives and intended audience

- The evaluation purpose, objectives and intended audience are clearly stated.
- The intended audience has been consulted and the report has been written in a language that is accessible to them.
- The evaluation purpose, objectives and intended audience are relevant to the evaluation.

#### Section II.2: Methodology and methods

- The methodology and methods are clearly described and justified.
- The methods used are appropriate to the evaluation purpose and objectives.

#### Section II.3: Data Collection and Analysis

- The data collection and analysis methods are clearly described and justified.
- The data collection and analysis methods are appropriate to the evaluation purpose and objectives.

#### Section II.4: The report

- The report is logically structured, well written and presented with clarity and coherence.
- The report is well written and presented with clarity and coherence.
- The report is concise and provides solid responses to the evaluation questions.
- The report is concise, provides solid responses to the evaluation questions.
- The report is well written, the structure and length are appropriate. Some key annexes are included (the ToRs, the evaluation questionnaires, the evaluation matrix, etc.).

#### Section II.5: The report

- The report is well written, the structure and length are appropriate. Some key annexes are included (the ToRs, the evaluation questionnaires, the evaluation matrix, etc.).
- The report is well written, the structure and length are appropriate. Some key annexes are included (the ToRs, the evaluation questionnaires, the evaluation matrix, etc.).
- The report is well written, the structure and length are appropriate. Some key annexes are included (the ToRs, the evaluation questionnaires, the evaluation matrix, etc.).
- The report is well written, the structure and length are appropriate. Some key annexes are included (the ToRs, the evaluation questionnaires, the evaluation matrix, etc.).
- The report is well written, the structure and length are appropriate. Some key annexes are included (the ToRs, the evaluation questionnaires, the evaluation matrix, etc.).

#### Section II.6: The evaluation findings, conclusions and recommendations

- The evaluation findings, conclusions and recommendations reflect a gender analysis.
- The evaluation findings, conclusions and recommendations reflect a gender analysis.
- The evaluation findings, conclusions and recommendations reflect a gender analysis.
- The evaluation findings, conclusions and recommendations reflect a gender analysis.
- The evaluation findings, conclusions and recommendations reflect a gender analysis.

#### Section II.7: The overall rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Finding</th>
<th>Total weighted score</th>
<th>Overall Rating</th>
<th>Overall Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The report covers a comprehensive description of the intervention and its objectives.</td>
<td>92.00</td>
<td>Very Good</td>
<td>This is an excellent evaluation report. The evaluation covers multiple countries and contextualizes the evaluation findings well. The report provides a clear understanding of the intervention and its impact, and includes relevant statistical data and visual aids to enhance the reader's understanding.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>