1.3 The report presents clear and complete description of the purpose and the objectives of the evaluation.

2. Evaluation Scope: The evaluation report provides clear description of the scope of the evaluation, including justification of what the evaluation covered and did not cover (thematically, geographically etc) as well as the reasons for the scope (e.g., specifications by the ToR, lack of access to particular geographic areas for political or safety reasons at the time of the evaluation, lack of data/evidence on particular elements of the intervention). The report describes implementation status of the portfolio of activities, as appropriate considering the nature of the global programmes.

3. Ethics: The evaluation report includes a discussion of the extent to which the evaluation design included ethical safeguards and mechanisms to minimize risks of harm (select criterion). Does the report present enough information about the implementing partners and national stakeholders could be added for better understanding of the context.

3.5 The evaluation report provides clear description of the object, including the theory of change and complete description of the interventions and their scope. The report describes implementation status of the portfolio of activities, as appropriate considering the nature of the global programmes.

4.1 The findings provide answers to all evaluation questions and criteria using high quality evidence and are presented logically and coherently. The report uses graphs and figures to synthesize findings and conclusions. If the findings are clearly supported by and respond to the evidence presented, selecting systematic and appropriate analysis and interpretation of the data, they are

PART II: THE EIGHT KEY PARAMETERS

SECTION 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION (weight 25%)

RATING

Executive Feedback on Section 1

Full

A good description of the object is included with the theory of change and complete description of the interventions and their scope. The report describes implementation status of the portfolio of activities, as appropriate considering the nature of the global programmes.

1. Purpose, objectives and use of evaluation: The evaluation report provides clear explanation of the purpose and the objectives of the evaluation including the intended use and users of the evaluation and how the information will be used.

1.1. The report clearly defines the object of the evaluation and purpose and objectives of the evaluation including the intended use and users of the evaluation and how the information will be used.

1.2. The context includes factors that have a direct bearing on the object of the evaluation: social, political, economic, demographic, and institutional. This also includes explanation of the contextual gender equality and human rights issues, roles, attitudes and relationships.

1.3. The key stakeholders involved in the implementation, including the implementing agency(ies) and partners, other stakeholders and their roles are described.

1.4. The report identifies the implementation status of the object, including phase of implementation and any significant changes (e.g., plans, strategies, logical frameworks) that have occurred over time and explains the implications of those changes for the evaluation.

2. Evaluation Scope: The evaluation report provides clear description of the scope of the evaluation, including justification of what the evaluation covered and did not cover (thematically, geographically etc) as well as the reasons for the scope (e.g., specifications by the ToR, lack of access to particular geographic areas for political or safety reasons at the time of the evaluation, lack of data/evidence on particular elements of the intervention).

1.1. The report clearly defines the object of the evaluation and purpose and objectives of the evaluation including the intended use and users of the evaluation and how the information will be used.

1.2. The context includes factors that have a direct bearing on the object of the evaluation: social, political, economic, demographic, and institutional. This also includes explanation of the contextual gender equality and human rights issues, roles, attitudes and relationships.

1.3. The key stakeholders involved in the implementation, including the implementing agency(ies) and partners, other stakeholders and their roles are described.

1.4. The report identifies the implementation status of the object, including phase of implementation and any significant changes (e.g., plans, strategies, logical frameworks) that have occurred over time and explains the implications of those changes for the evaluation.

1.1. The report clearly defines the object of the evaluation and purpose and objectives of the evaluation including the intended use and users of the evaluation and how the information will be used.

2. Evaluation Scope: The evaluation report provides clear description of the scope of the evaluation, including justification of what the evaluation covered and did not cover (thematically, geographically etc) as well as the reasons for the scope (e.g., specifications by the ToR, lack of access to particular geographic areas for political or safety reasons at the time of the evaluation, lack of data/evidence on particular elements of the intervention).

1.1. The report clearly defines the object of the evaluation and purpose and objectives of the evaluation including the intended use and users of the evaluation and how the information will be used.

1.2. The context includes factors that have a direct bearing on the object of the evaluation: social, political, economic, demographic, and institutional. This also includes explanation of the contextual gender equality and human rights issues, roles, attitudes and relationships.

1.3. The key stakeholders involved in the implementation, including the implementing agency(ies) and partners, other stakeholders and their roles are described.

1.4. The report identifies the implementation status of the object, including phase of implementation and any significant changes (e.g., plans, strategies, logical frameworks) that have occurred over time and explains the implications of those changes for the evaluation.

1.1. The report clearly defines the object of the evaluation and purpose and objectives of the evaluation including the intended use and users of the evaluation and how the information will be used.

1.2. The context includes factors that have a direct bearing on the object of the evaluation: social, political, economic, demographic, and institutional. This also includes explanation of the contextual gender equality and human rights issues, roles, attitudes and relationships.

1.3. The key stakeholders involved in the implementation, including the implementing agency(ies) and partners, other stakeholders and their roles are described.

1.4. The report identifies the implementation status of the object, including phase of implementation and any significant changes (e.g., plans, strategies, logical frameworks) that have occurred over time and explains the implications of those changes for the evaluation.

1.1. The report clearly defines the object of the evaluation and purpose and objectives of the evaluation including the intended use and users of the evaluation and how the information will be used.

1.2. The context includes factors that have a direct bearing on the object of the evaluation: social, political, economic, demographic, and institutional. This also includes explanation of the contextual gender equality and human rights issues, roles, attitudes and relationships.

1.3. The key stakeholders involved in the implementation, including the implementing agency(ies) and partners, other stakeholders and their roles are described.

1.4. The report identifies the implementation status of the object, including phase of implementation and any significant changes (e.g., plans, strategies, logical frameworks) that have occurred over time and explains the implications of those changes for the evaluation.

1.1. The report clearly defines the object of the evaluation and purpose and objectives of the evaluation including the intended use and users of the evaluation and how the information will be used.

1.2. The context includes factors that have a direct bearing on the object of the evaluation: social, political, economic, demographic, and institutional. This also includes explanation of the contextual gender equality and human rights issues, roles, attitudes and relationships.

1.3. The key stakeholders involved in the implementation, including the implementing agency(ies) and partners, other stakeholders and their roles are described.

1.4. The report identifies the implementation status of the object, including phase of implementation and any significant changes (e.g., plans, strategies, logical frameworks) that have occurred over time and explains the implications of those changes for the evaluation.

1.1. The report clearly defines the object of the evaluation and purpose and objectives of the evaluation including the intended use and users of the evaluation and how the information will be used.

1.2. The context includes factors that have a direct bearing on the object of the evaluation: social, political, economic, demographic, and institutional. This also includes explanation of the contextual gender equality and human rights issues, roles, attitudes and relationships.

1.3. The key stakeholders involved in the implementation, including the implementing agency(ies) and partners, other stakeholders and their roles are described.

1.4. The report identifies the implementation status of the object, including phase of implementation and any significant changes (e.g., plans, strategies, logical frameworks) that have occurred over time and explains the implications of those changes for the evaluation.

1.1. The report clearly defines the object of the evaluation and purpose and objectives of the evaluation including the intended use and users of the evaluation and how the information will be used.

1.2. The context includes factors that have a direct bearing on the object of the evaluation: social, political, economic, demographic, and institutional. This also includes explanation of the contextual gender equality and human rights issues, roles, attitudes and relationships.

1.3. The key stakeholders involved in the implementation, including the implementing agency(ies) and partners, other stakeholders and their roles are described.

1.4. The report identifies the implementation status of the object, including phase of implementation and any significant changes (e.g., plans, strategies, logical frameworks) that have occurred over time and explains the implications of those changes for the evaluation.

1.1. The report clearly defines the object of the evaluation and purpose and objectives of the evaluation including the intended use and users of the evaluation and how the information will be used.

1.2. The context includes factors that have a direct bearing on the object of the evaluation: social, political, economic, demographic, and institutional. This also includes explanation of the contextual gender equality and human rights issues, roles, attitudes and relationships.

1.3. The key stakeholders involved in the implementation, including the implementing agency(ies) and partners, other stakeholders and their roles are described.

1.4. The report identifies the implementation status of the object, including phase of implementation and any significant changes (e.g., plans, strategies, logical frameworks) that have occurred over time and explains the implications of those changes for the evaluation.
4. Findings are presented with clarity, logic and coherence (e.g., avoid ambiguity)

Fully

5. Conclusions are substantiated by the evidence presented and are logically based on the evaluation findings. There is no description of the process used to develop the conclusions (weight: 25%)

Fully

6. The report describes the process followed in developing the conclusions including consultation with stakeholders.

Fully

7. Conclusions present strengths and weaknesses of the object (policy, programme, project or other intervention) being evaluated, based on the evidence presented and taking due account of the views of a diverse cross-section of the population.

Fully

8. Lessons Learned: When presented, the lessons learned section should logically flow from the findings, presents an analysis of how they can be applied to different contexts and/or different sectors, and takes into account evidential limitations such as generalizing from single points of observations.

Partly

SECTION 4: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED (weight: 25%)

Rating

Comments

82%

Fully integrated (3)

The scope fully integrates gender as appropriate for a UN Women's thematic evaluation. The evaluation's objectives directly relate to gender. The evaluation criteria includes GE/IR integration and gender-related considerations in evaluation design. Data gaps in UN Women reports were identified in an evaluation

Feedback

UN Women's thematic evaluation. The main scope of the evaluation was the assessment of the UN Women's support to Gender Mainstreaming (UN-Women). A gender-responsive approach was undertaken for the evaluation. The evaluation was conducted in line with gender equality and human rights principles as set out in the UN Evaluation Group Standards for Evaluation in the UN System. The data collection and analysis methods were comprehensive and appropriate for the triangulation, analysis and assessments of IER and HR specific results and data collected is disaggregated by sex. Information about sampling and their representation in the generalisation of the findings is properly cited in limitations. Specific information about tools and processes used to identify particularly vulnerable groups and ensure their engagement in the evaluation process was not provided. The evaluation clearly reflect gender analysis. Findings, conclusions and recommendations are clear and reflect gender analysis, consistent with the fact that this is a corporate thematic evaluation assessing UN Women's contributions in line with rights-based approaches and gender equity. The findings provide answers to all evaluation questions and criteria using high quality evidence systematically. Conclusions are well substantiated by the evidence and clearly based on the evaluation findings.

EXECUTIVE FEEDBACK ON SECTION 4

SECTION 5: THE REPORT PRESENTATION (weight: 15%)

Rating

Comments

78%

Fully integrated (3)

The report is well written and properly structured. The content and the presentation is presented clearly and succinctly. The overall length of the report could be reduced from 108 pages to about 60 pages (max.). Most important basic information is included in the first pages of the report including table of contents and forward, as well as in timelines and names of evaluators. Suggest also adding a list of tables/figures and graphs. The executive summary is complete but could also be shortened as it is about 20 pages long. A complete set of documents is listed, but not included in the report, but available in a separate document available in the GEARAS platform. Suggest including information in the report to point the reader to the availability of such information in the GEARS platform. The report includes all feedback and recommendations. The report provides answers to all evaluation questions and criteria using high quality evidence systematically. Conclusions are well substantiated by the evidence and clearly based on the evaluation findings.

EXECUTIVE FEEDBACK ON SECTION 5

PART III: THE OVERALL RATING

This is a very good evaluation report. It is very well written and well researched, presenting clear responses to the evaluation questions based on in-depth assessments and robust methodological approach.

Overall Total weighted score %

85.66

Very Good