The report identifies significant changes (e.g., plans, strategies, logical frameworks) that have occurred over time and explains the limitations such as generalizing from single point observations. For their selection, data collection and analysis methods, the report includes discussion of how the mix of data sources was used to obtain a diversity of perspectives, ensure data accuracy and overcome data limitations. Mostly relevant ethical standards including but not limited to, informed consent of participants, confidentiality and prevention of harm. A set of lessons and good practices are well formulated. The report clearly describes the methods for the data sources, rationale for their selection, data collection and analysis methods. The report specifies and provides a complete description of the key stakeholders/actors and their roles, the geographical distribution of the portfolio as well as information on the implementation phase of the portfolio, in addition to the explanations provided about the changes overtime.

DESCRIPTION OF THE OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION 45%  

1. Objectives and context of the evaluation: The evaluation report provides a clear description of the scope of the evaluation, including the intended and used method and the information that will be used. 67%  

The report presents a clear and detailed description of the object ‘site visit’ evaluation? 67%  

The report describes the intervention, its phases, objectives, targets, budget and a list of the key stakeholders/actors (and their role), the geographical distribution of the portfolio as well as information on the implementation phase of the portfolio, in addition to the explanations provided about the changes overtime. 67%  

2. Methodology: The report specifies and provides a complete description of the relevant design and sets of evaluation criteria, evaluation questions and performance standards. The methods employed are appropriate for analysing gender and human rights issues identified in the evaluation scope. 67%  

3. Data collection, analysis and reporting: The report describes the methods used to collect the data, indicates whether the data collection methods were used to obtain a diversity of perspectives, ensure data accuracy and overcome data limitations. Mostly relevant ethical standards including but not limited to, informed consent of participants, confidentiality and prevention of harm. A set of lessons and good practices are well formulated. The report clearly describes the methods for the data sources, rationale for their selection, data collection and analysis methods. The report specifies and provides a complete description of the key stakeholders/actors and their roles, the geographical distribution of the portfolio as well as information on the implementation phase of the portfolio, in addition to the explanations provided about the changes overtime.

4. Stakeholder involvement: The evaluation report provides a clear description of the key stakeholders/actors involved in the evaluation, including the rationale for their selection, data collection and analysis methods. The report specifies and provides a complete description of the key stakeholders/actors and their roles, the geographical distribution of the portfolio as well as information on the implementation phase of the portfolio, in addition to the explanations provided about the changes overtime. Mostly relevant ethical standards including but not limited to, informed consent of participants, confidentiality and prevention of harm. A set of lessons and good practices are well formulated. The report clearly describes the methods for the data sources, rationale for their selection, data collection and analysis methods. The report specifies and provides a complete description of the key stakeholders/actors and their roles, the geographical distribution of the portfolio as well as information on the implementation phase of the portfolio, in addition to the explanations provided about the changes overtime.

5. Findings clearly presented, interpreted and explained: The findings clearly and concisely present the evidence, providing clear and comprehensive explanations of the findings, highlighting their relevance. The findings are based on a thorough analysis of the data, and are presented in a way that is easy to understand and interpret. Most importantly, the findings are properly grounded on the evidence and represent a range of views. A set of lessons and good practices are well formulated. The report clearly describes the methods for the data sources, rationale for their selection, data collection and analysis methods. The report specifies and provides a complete description of the key stakeholders/actors and their roles, the geographical distribution of the portfolio as well as information on the implementation phase of the portfolio, in addition to the explanations provided about the changes overtime.

6. Conclusions and recommendations: The conclusions are logically derived from the findings and/or recommendations, is an aggregated rating of eight parameters. Each rating is on a scale from 4 (Fully) to 0 (Not at all). The report presents a clear and comprehensive description of the conclusions, highlighting their relevance. The conclusions are based on a thorough analysis of the data, and are presented in a way that is easy to understand and interpret. Most importantly, the conclusions are properly grounded on the evidence and represent a range of views. A set of lessons and good practices are well formulated. The report clearly describes the methods for the data sources, rationale for their selection, data collection and analysis methods. The report specifies and provides a complete description of the key stakeholders/actors and their roles, the geographical distribution of the portfolio as well as information on the implementation phase of the portfolio, in addition to the explanations provided about the changes overtime.
8.4 The report is well structured, written in accessible language and well presented? 89%

Executive feedback on Section 8

In the report well structured, written in accessible language and well presented?

F

FULLY

OTHER

The report is well written and presented. The executive summary that an executive summary is listed in the Table of Contents. The report is too long (82 pages). Most key annexes are included (the ToRs, the evaluation matrix and questionnaires). The report does not meet the minimum number of interviewees included in the first pages of the report. The executive summary is concise and complete but needs better formatting at the end.

8.3 The evaluation, date of report, location of evaluated object, names and/or organization(s) of the evaluator(s), name of evaluation agency, other relevant annexes are included. The evaluation also takes into consideration the UN Women Evaluation policy and the UN Women's Coordinating mechanisms gender analysis was satisfactorily included in the report. The gender problematics is well analyzed in the background section. The findings address all evaluation questions and offer guidance gender-related assessments. The evaluation methodology was satisfactorily reflected in the report. The gender problematics is well analyzed in the background section. The findings address all evaluation questions and offer guidance gender-related assessments.

8.2 Is the purpose, objectives and intended audience, evaluation methodology, key findings, conclusions and recommendations) and is written in accessible language with minimal grammatical, spelling or punctuation errors.

F

FULLY

OTHER

7.2 A gender-responsive methodology, methods and tools, and data analysis techniques are selected. Fully integrated (3) The evaluation integrated gender fully in its scope as it was designed to assess the UN Women country programme. As such, it included gender in its criteria, objectives and evaluation questions related to gender. Key indicators were also included. The report does not refer to the lack of indicators to measure gender progress. The methods were fully gender-responsive. The methodology was appropriate for triangulation, analysis and assessments of UN-Women specific results. The sampling strategy is only generally described but the number of people consulted was appropriate for this scope of the evaluation and all triangulations to gender indicators were satisfactorily reflected in the report. The sampling strategy is only generally described but the number of people consulted was appropriate for this scope of the evaluation and all triangulations to gender indicators were satisfactorily reflected in the report. The sampling strategy is only generally described but the number of people consulted was appropriate for this scope of the evaluation and all triangulations to gender indicators were satisfactorily reflected in the report.

8.1 Identify aspects of the evaluation scope and methodological criteria and questions are designed to a way that ensures DMR data will be collected. Fully designated (3) The evaluation integrated gender fully in its scope as it was designed to assess the UN Women country programme. As such, it included gender in its criteria, objectives and evaluation questions related to gender. Key indicators were also included. The report does not refer to the lack of indicators to measure gender progress. The methods were fully gender-responsive. The methodology was appropriate for triangulation, analysis and assessments of UN-Women specific results. The sampling strategy is only generally described but the number of people consulted was appropriate for this scope of the evaluation and all triangulations to gender indicators were satisfactorily reflected in the report. The sampling strategy is only generally described but the number of people consulted was appropriate for this scope of the evaluation and all triangulations to gender indicators were satisfactorily reflected in the report. The sampling strategy is only generally described but the number of people consulted was appropriate for this scope of the evaluation and all triangulations to gender indicators were satisfactorily reflected in the report.

SECTION 8: THE REPORT PRESENTATION (weight 15%) 

Rating

50%

Executive feedback on Section 8

In the report well structured, written in accessible language and well presented?

F

FULLY

OTHER

The report is well written and presented. The executive summary that an executive summary is listed in the Table of Contents. The report is too long (82 pages). Most key annexes are included (the ToRs, the evaluation matrix and questionnaires). The report does not meet the minimum number of interviewees included in the first pages of the report. The executive summary is concise and complete but needs better formatting at the end.

PART II: RESULTS, FINDINGS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

*** Note: Any additional comments can be found in the reviewer's comments section.***

### Evaluation Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Weighted Score</th>
<th>Overall Rating</th>
<th>Other reviewer comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive summary is concise and complete but needs better formatting at the end.</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Please provide a narrative that addresses the evaluation purpose and objectives based on results, and that can be directly linked with evidence:**

**Executive summary is concise and complete but needs better formatting at the end.**