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5. Methodology:

The methodology section provides a clear description of the relevance and design of the evaluation including decision evaluation criteria, questions and performance standards. The methods employed are appropriate for analysing gender and human rights issues identified in the evaluation scope.
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This report addresses all evaluation criteria and questions but findings are somewhat inconsistent regarding evidence and depth of analysis (as mentioned above). Overall, it is important to ensure proper citations and show the source for the statements. A good set of conclusions and recommendations are included.

Is this a credible report that addresses the evaluation purpose and objectives based on evidence, and that can therefore be used with confidence?

67.41

Additional Information

Identify aspects of good practice of the evaluation

Report is logically structured, well written and presented with clarity and coherence (e.g. the structure and presentation is easy to identify and accepts (numbered sections, short titles and subtitles, context, purpose, and methodology) and normally provide findings, which normally be followed by conclusions, lessons learned and recommendations) and is written in accessible language with minimal grammatical, spelling or punctuation errors.

Mostly

The title page and opening pages provide key basic information on the name of evaluand, timeframe of the evaluation, date of report, location of evaluated object, names and/or organization(s) of the evaluator(s), name of organization commissioning the evaluation, table of contents including, as relevant: tables, graphs, figures, annexes; list of acronyms/abbreviations, page numbers.

Fully

The Executive Summary is a stand-alone section that includes an overview of the intervention, evaluation purpose, objectives and intended audience, evaluation methodology, key findings, conclusions and recommendations. The Executive summary should be reasonably concise.

Fully

Annexes should include, when not present in the body of the report: ToR, evaluation matrix, list of interviewees, list of site visits, data collection instruments (such as survey or interview questionnaires), list of documentary evidence. Other appropriate annexes could include additional details on methodology, copy of the results data, information about the evaluator.

Fully

Gender Considerations

GEWE is integrated in the evaluation scope of analysis and evaluation criteria and questions are designed in a way that ensures GEWE related data will be collected.

Fully integrated (3)

The methodology is partially gender-responsive. The evaluation was conducted in accordance with UN Women evaluation policy and UN Women Evaluation handbook and the report refers to gender responsive evaluation methodology (as per UN Women requirements and UNWPA). It also refers to participatory approaches ensuring inclusion of all relevant stakeholders, and more information in needed specifying how data and analysis methods integrated gender consideration. The detailed evaluation methodology (as per above) and results should be included.

Fully

REPORT PRESENTATION (weight 10%)

Is the report well structured, written in accessible language and well presented?

83%

The evaluation integrated GEWE fully in its scope. It was designed to assess the results of a project designed to promote gender equality in the security sector as such, GEWE was included in the evaluation criteria and several evaluation questions related to gender/HR. The methodology is partially gender-responsive. The evaluation was conducted in accordance with UN Women evaluation policy and UN Women Evaluation handbook and the report refers to gender responsive evaluation methodology (as per UN Women requirements and UNWPA). It also refers to participatory approaches ensuring inclusion of all relevant stakeholders, and more information in needed specifying how data and analysis methods integrated gender consideration. The detailed evaluation methodology (as per above) and results should be included.

Fully

The report is well structured, with appropriate structure but it could be shortened to about 50 pages (currently about 70 pages long). Key annexes are included (the ToRs, the evaluation questionnaires, list of interviewees, evaluation matrix). This shows the robustness of the work undertaken. Most needed basic information is included in the first pages of the report. Suggest including a list of tables and graphs. The executive summary Is complete and concise.
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Fully

8.3 The Executive Summary is a stand-alone section that includes an overview of the intervention, evaluation purpose, objectives and intended audience, evaluation methodology, key findings, conclusions and recommendations. The Executive summary should be reasonably concise.

Fully

Annexes should include, when not present in the body of the report: ToR, evaluation matrix, list of interviewees, list of site visits, data collection instruments (such as survey or interview questionnaires), list of documentary evidence. Other appropriate annexes could include additional details on methodology, copy of the results data, information about the evaluator.
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