## UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

### Title of the Evaluation Report
De lo local a lo global: políticas públicas para el empoderamiento de las mujeres indígenas. Evaluación del acuerdo de colaboración CDE-ONU Mujeres, en el marco del Programa de Acciones para la Igualdad de Género con Pueblos Indígenas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report sequence number</th>
<th>Date of Review</th>
<th>Year of the Evaluation Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Region
Americas and Caribbean

### Country(ies)
Mexico (one activity has a regional component with networks of indigenous women)

### Executive Summary in Final Report
Yes

### TORs sent with Report
No

### OVERALL RATING
Unsatisfactory

### Executive Feedback on Overall Rating
To be a credible report, the report should be more evidence based, better structured and streamline the conclusions and recommendations. Many of the issues could be addressed by following UNEG standards for structuring the report.

### PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION
Satisfactory

- **Executive Feedback on Parameter 1**
  - The logic model and expected result chain is poorly described. It is considered good practice to reconstruct the theory of change used by a programme or partnership if this is not available in advance of the evaluation. To a certain degree the evaluation object is well described, as is the context in Mexico. However, the report would benefit from having data on the sources and levels of resources in the partnership - and how they are connected to the intended results.

### PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE
Satisfactory

- **Executive Feedback on Parameter 2**
  - The purpose of the evaluation and its objectives are not clearly presented and distinguished. In addition to general objectives and references to frameworks, the report would benefit from specific statements of objectives and definitions of the key evaluation criteria. The main evaluation questions could be more clearly outlined and explained in terms of how they will be answered. The report would also benefit from explaining what was excluded from the scope of the evaluation, and why.

### PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY
Satisfactory

- **Executive Feedback on Parameter 3**
  - The methodology used is clearly described but insufficiently refers to evaluation criteria and evaluation questions. It is difficult to judge if this is due to the evaluation design or as the ToR do not call for specific evaluation criteria. The report presents few measures to ensure data quality. The validity of data collection tools such as interview protocols and observation tools can't be judged as these are missing in the annexes. The evaluation fails to refer to ethical safeguards.

### PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS
Satisfactory

- **Executive Feedback on Parameter 4**
  - Findings are clearly structured and are based on the information collected. However in some cases evidence is anecdotal insufficiently triangulated to be robust (specially when a finding is drawn on an interview). The successes and challenges are clearly outlined.

### PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED
Unsatisfactory

- **Executive Feedback on Parameter 5**
  - The conclusion are not clearly presented. Each activity evaluated in this partnership outlines successes and challenges, however there is no clear indication of conclusions as such. UN Women standards require that there is a specific conclusions section that adds an additional layer of analysis - identifying the implications of the findings for decisions makers.

### PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS
Unsatisfactory

- **Executive Feedback on Parameter 6**
  - The recommendations are very general. The level of consultation done to formulate and prioritize these is not very clear. It is not clear who is responsible to do what. Recommendations benefit from clearly stating which group they are aimed at, why they are relevant (using evidence from the findings and conclusions), and what process has been used to ensure they are feasible (e.g. validation, commenting, etc).

### PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS
Satisfactory

- **Executive Feedback on Parameter 7**
  - Gender and human rights perspectives are integrated in the evaluation report but not used to inform the design. In addition to presenting disaggregated quantitative data, the evaluation could have involved affected groups in the co-design of the evaluation questions, data collection, and validation processes.

### PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE
Unsatisfactory

- **Executive Feedback on Parameter 8**
  - The report would benefit from being better structured by separating clearly background, objectives and findings before conclusions and recommendations. Several of the basic components are missing in the Executive summary, the main body of the report and annexes.