

## UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Response					
Title of the Evaluation Report	<b>AVALIAÇÃO das Intervenções da ONU Mulheres na área de Prevenção e Eliminação da Violência contra a Mulher, com enfoque na Mobilização social no âmbito da Campanha UNiDOS pelo fim da violência contra a Mulher e Rapariga</b>				
Report sequence number	0	Date of Review		Year of the Evaluation Report	2013
Region	Eastern and Southern Africa		Country(ies)	Mozambique	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Yes		TORs sent with Report	Yes	
<b>OVERALL RATING</b>			<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	Overall the report is credible and serves the original evaluation purpose. It would have benefitted from setting out a clear explanation of the logic of the evaluand and/or the theories of change that were used. In addition, better defining the evaluation questions and criteria at the outset of the report would have assisted with prioritising recommendations.				
<b>PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION</b>			<b>PARAMETER 1</b>	<b>Good</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The report clearly describes the evaluation object and its level of implementation. However a clear logic model and expected results chain is missing. The context and program as well as key stakeholders are well described.				
<b>PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE</b>			<b>PARAMETER 2</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The evaluation purpose, objective and scope clearly guide the evaluation. Nevertheless evaluation criteria are insufficiently described by the evaluation team. The evaluation objectives are well presented but are not directly linked to the key evaluation questions				
<b>PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY</b>			<b>PARAMETER 3</b>	<b>Good</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	This mid term evaluation uses mixed methods that are very clearly described and the rationale is only partially justified. However the survey is a bit skewed only having been done at capital level				
<b>PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 4</b>	<b>Good</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	Findings are clearly present and based on sound analysis. Following the different activities carried out are clearly reported under effectiveness while a summary judgement is reported on other evaluation criteria				
<b>PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED</b>			<b>PARAMETER 5</b>	<b>Good</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	Conclusions are based on findings and substantiated by qualitative and quantitative evidence however the lessons drawn could be better presented to contribute to general knowledge				
<b>PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 6</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	Recommendations are relevant but are not sufficiently prioritized. It is not clear who is responsible to implement the first two.				
<b>PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 7</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	To some extent gender and human rights perspective are integrated and addressed in the evaluation process and report				
<b>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</b>			<b>PARAMETER 8</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	Overall the report is well structured even if it is a bit long and descriptive in some sections.				