

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Response	
Title of the Evaluation Report	Final Evaluation of Sustaining the Gains of Foreign Labour Migration through the Protection of Migrant Workers' Rights Programme
Report sequence number	0
Region	Asia and the Pacific
Executive Summary in Final Report	Yes
Date of Review	
Year of the Evaluation Report	2013
Country(ies)	Nepal
TORs sent with Report	Yes
OVERALL RATING	Overall Rating
	Good
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	The is a systematic and solid report that contains all of the required elements. There could be some polishing of the presentation, including more information on scope, criteria, and limitations - and the conclusions and recommendations could be pushed further than they are. However, the context, programme background and findings are all very strong.
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION	PARAMETER 1
	Very Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The report includes an excellent and detailed (but concise) description of the context, including information on gender issues. There is a very good table that summarises all of the programme details, which is an innovative and extremely helpful resource. The inclusion of a map is also good.
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE	PARAMETER 2
	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The purpose is clearly described and the objectives are excellent. Scope is considered, but only very briefly. The evaluation criteria have to be derived from the annexes and the findings section, which is not ideal - but they are still used appropriately in the analysis.
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY	PARAMETER 3
	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	There is a specific discussion of ethics, which is excellent. Tools are discussed and included in the annexes. The overall design is discussed, but the limitations are not elaborated to the extent expected. The section on sampling is very strong, but stakeholders beyond UN Women appear to have mainly been included only as a data source and to help validate the process.
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS	PARAMETER 4
	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	Findings are presented strongly, making good use of triangulation and organised under the evaluation criteria. The analysis is systematic and supporting evidence is disaggregated and presented appropriately using tables and quotes.
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED	PARAMETER 5
	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	There is a separate section on strengths and weaknesses, which both adds value and complements the main conclusions. These are systematic and linked to both the evidence and the criteria. There is also a table in the annexes giving examples from the research. The main area for improvement is in relation to taking the analysis a step further, and detailing the implications of the findings for decision makers, rather than stopping at summarising the findings.
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS	PARAMETER 6
	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	Recommendations are relevant and organised under themes. However, they are relatively many, and there is no clear prioritisation. It is also not clear how the recommendations have been validated, and there is insufficient detail to really understand how feasible the suggestions are.
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS	PARAMETER 7
	Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	The report includes systematic analysis of both gender and human rights issues, including disaggregating data where this is available.
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE	PARAMETER 8
	Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	The executive summary can stand alone in terms of covering all aspects of the report - but information on the methods is very brief and needs to include more information on the limitations of the design. The annexes are useful, and would be even more so if there was cross-referencing in the main report. Overall, the report is logical and clearly presented.