

| UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Response | | |
| Title of the Evaluation Report Final Evaluation of Project on Making Politics Work with Women (MP3W) in Nepal | | | |
| Report sequence number | 0 Date of Review | Year of the Evaluation Report | 2013 |
| Region | Asia and the Pacific | Country(ies) | Nepal |
| Executive Summary in Final Report | Yes | TORs sent with Report | Yes |
| | OVERALL RATING | Overall Rating | Good |
| Executive Feedback on Overall Rating | lack some detail and provide a deeper level of analysis. The assessment of efficiency and impact is particularly cursory. This is unfortunate, because the overall impression is of a strongly written and methodical report. | | |
| PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND C | ONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION | PARAMETER 1 | Very Good |
| Executive Feedback on Parameter 2 | the scope of the evaluation is very useful. Whilst there is a useful box on the participation of women in politics, the stakeholder analysis could include more detail. | | |
| PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJ | IECTIVES AND SCOPE | PARAMETER 2 | Good |
| Executive Feedback on Parameter 2 | Information on the purpose, objectives and scope is all present - if a little brief. Some of the material on objectives and criteria could be 'unpacked more', although there is a useful evaluation matrix in the annexes. | | |
| PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOG | 3Y | PARAMETER 3 | Very Good |
| Executive Feedback on Parameter 3 | The methods section correctly interprets the UN standards in design, including acknowledging its weaknesses and limitation evaluation questions and the resourcing window of the evalua- including informed consent - is excellent. | ns. The methods used are a | ppropriate to the |
| PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS | | PARAMETER 4 | Satisfactory |
| Executive Feedback on Parameter 4 | The findings section is methodical and based on the evaluation criteria. The strongest analysis is in relation to effectiveness. The section on efficiency is very brief and quite weakly evidenced, the discussion of impact and sustainability is also brief and not fully explored. | | |
| PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS | S AND LESSONS LEARNED | PARAMETER 5 | Satisfactory |
| Executive Feedback on Parameter 5 | The conclusions are an objective presentation of the evidence, than taking them to the next level of analysis and insight. | but largely restate many o | f the findings rather |
| PARAMETER 6:RECOMMENDA | ATIONS | PARAMETER 6 | Satisfactory |
| Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6 | The recommendations are well organised - being limited to tw detail to be assessed as being feasible in relation to the organis | | r, they lack sufficient |
| PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND | HUMAN RIGHTS | PARAMETER 7 | Very Good |
| Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7 | The report is highly sensitive to gender issues and includes go addition to using human rights language, there is an inclusion and quotes. | | |
| PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT S | | PARAMETER 8 | Good |
| Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8 | This is a well written report that clearly and systematically pre according to the minimum standards. The Executive summary makers, the annexes include useful material that add addition | y stands alone and can be u | used by decision |