

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Response			
Title of the Evaluation Report	Evaluation of Gender and Governance Programme, Kenya		
Report sequence number	0	Date of Review	Year of the Evaluation Report 2013
Region	Eastern and Southern Africa	Country(ies)	Kenya
Executive Summary in Final Report	Yes	TORs sent with Report	0
OVERALL RATING		Overall Rating	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	This is a report full of excellence, particular examples being the gender analysis of respondents, discussion on human rights, history of the evaluand, relevance discussed by stake holding groups, and participatory validation process. However, critical elements including the conclusions and Executive Summary do not fully meet UN Women standards. With reediting, this report could be rated Good or even Very Good.		
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION		PARAMETER 1	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The report is generally detailed and methodical in its presentation of information of the object, including an excellent contextual history. The Logical framework is presented, although the theories of change are not reconstructed in the absence of a strong theory of change within the programme. The stakeholders are assessed in detail at an institutional level, but do not assess the power-holding groups among women and men that the programme was targeting.		
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE		PARAMETER 2	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	There is an excellent discussion of scope in the report, and the objectives are clearly stated with word highlighted that link to the criteria. The annexes include the evaluation framework, which adds additional strength to the report.		
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY		PARAMETER 3	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The methodology is clearly described and justified, and there is a strong discussion on sampling and the justification for selecting each data source. The report also includes a robust process of validation involving important stake holding groups.		
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS		PARAMETER 4	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	Findings are lengthy and mostly detailed, with methodical discussion of all the evaluation questions. Whilst gaps in the data are not elaborated as much as they could have been, there is an excellent discussion on unexpected findings. The use of quotes and other selected references also strengthens the report, and the systematic analysis of relevance for each stake holding group is a neat innovation.		
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED		PARAMETER 5	Unsatisfactory
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The conclusions section is exceptionally brief, adding very little insight or analysis to the findings. Whilst there are some concluding statements included in the recommendations (and detailed findings), this poor organisation reduces the value of the report substantially. Lessons are identified within the programme context, but these are not generalised beyond the evaluand.		
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS		PARAMETER 6	Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	Recommendations are systematically linked to the findings (although not the conclusions - see above). The validation process identified by the report gives greater confidence in the conclusions, which are prioritised and linked to the names of intended implementing agencies.		
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS		PARAMETER 7	Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	Gender and human rights are considered throughout the report, with a useful gender breakdown of the evaluation participants. Gender issues are substantially covered in the discussion. The findings also discuss rights-holding and duty-bearing issues.		
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE		PARAMETER 8	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	The structure and readability of the report is generally good, with tables and boxes included where relevant. The annexes are used effectively and add substantially to the overall report. The Executive Summary is largely in line with the UN Women standards, but lacks the report recommendations - which means it cannot stand alone as a document. The Conclusions section also requires more organisation and elaboration.		