UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Title of the Evaluation Report	*UN Women's Anti Human Trafficking Program*		
Region	Asia and the Pacific	**Country(ies)**	India MCO

Overall Rating

Overall Feedback: Overall, the report rated as: **Very Good**. The reviewers made the following specific comments: *"Overall this report distils sound evaluation methodology knowledge and practice. It can be shown as a good example of fulfilling UNEG and UN Women evaluation policies and guidelines.* *Sound methodological design including before-and-after and also control-and-case group comparisons to establish counterfactual.* *As improvement areas we could just mention that exploring unintended outcomes produced by the intervention and going into the methodology limitations and ethical issues would have made this report even more credible."* The reviewers also noted some positive evaluation practices in the report. These included *"The agency carrying out baseline, mid-term evaluation and endline, executed by the same evaluation team is a very good practice. Including Case studies with particular stories along the project. Also very rich in quantitative data analysis. Special mention to the multidisciplinary evaluation team, complementing their capacities and enriching their analysis."*	**Very Good**

Terms of Reference included?	Yes	**Executive Summary**	Good

PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION	**Good**
Logic model is based on the theory of change p.18 (assumptions made by which the program is designed to produce an impact).	

PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE	**Good**
This parameter is described with rich detail and clarity.	

PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY	**Good**
Very sound methodology (p.22-26). The sample reasoning was coherent and consistent, the variety of methods rich and complementary, and the stakeholder representation was sufficient and well defined. Also, by visiting the six districts the geographical representation of the sample of beneficiaries consulted was assured.	

PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS	**Very Good**
Clear and very rich in detail findings.	

PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED	**Good**
Solid reasoning for reaching the conclusions. The conclusions cover all relevant issues.	

PARAMETER 6:RECOMMENDATIONS	**Good**
Recommendations grounded and relevant.	

PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS	**Meets Requirements**

All along the report there are references to how a gender approach is mainstreamed throughout the whole process (evaluation design, data collection, data analysis and segmentation, data judgement and elaboration of conclusions and recommendations).

SWAP Score: 9/12

PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE	**Good**

This is a very written and structured report, following UNEG and UN Women evaluation guidelines and policies.

In order to help strengthen future evaluation reports, the reviewers offered the following constructive suggestions:

☐ The inclusion of the Theory of Change in the report evidences the good understanding of the project design, which was based on literature about trafficking and incorporating the global knowledge we have on this particular field.

☐ By doing so, they enabled the evaluation process to be much more focused on the key issues of trafficking and evidence-based, and allowing the evaluation team to lead the research by the intervention logic on occasions (theory-based evaluation).

☐ The discussion of the purpose and the scope might also be considered as an opportunity to introduce gender responsive analysis – such as analysing whose questions are being asked, and whose perspectives are being privileged by the evaluation.

☐ In order to make the methodology explanation more complete, it could include an explanation on how case and control cases where chosen in this quasi-experiment (vs. Random selection of a pure experiment). If selection was determined by selection of baseline, explain how this was done. And also its potential bias and ethical considerations regarding control group being consulted and not receiving the benefits of the program.

☐ Even though each district had a different context and history, consolidated figures containing all the districts would have been useful to compare results.

☐ Also results could have been visualized highlighting the differences between baseline and endline. Present graphs make this comparison difficult for the reader.

☐ This report serves as a good benchmark for future evaluations of global programmes.

☐ For improving future reports, and due to the different results achieved by the different implementing partners' approaches, further analysis and pros and cons of each could have been interesting in the conclusions' sections.

☐ Recommendations are an opportunity to engage communities and recipients. Further detail on how these recommendations were elaborated (whether it counted with the stakeholders involvement or not) would be appreciated.

☐ It is considered a strength to count within the evaluation team with a gender expert which could be vigilant and fostering a GEEW approach throughout the whole evaluation process.

☐ Being a multi-intervention, multi-site quasi-experiment, it would have been helpful if the Executive Summary had included a matrix detailing results by site and intervention.