

UN Women Global Evaluation Report Assessment and Analysis System (GERAAS)

Executive Feedback Template



Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
Title of the Evaluation Report	Achieving E-Quality in the ICT Sector				
Report sequence number	5	Date of Review	2016	Year of the Evaluation Report	2015
Region	Arab States		Country(ies)	Jordan	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Good		TORs sent with Report	Yes	
OVERALL RATING			Overall Rating	Good	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	The evaluation report is credible based on a good background understanding and sound methodology applied. Evaluation questions follow DAC criteria and the questions allow the issues to be unpacked further (but could go further in terms of human resources and gender equality). The findings appear to be well grounded but could be further evidence based in the text. It would also be helpful if the recommendations could be sharpened so that they are actionable, prioritized and targeted to relevant stakeholders.				
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION			PARAMETER 1	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The project is clearly described allowing a comprehensive understanding of its elements, objectives, activities and logic. Stakeholders are also presented to depict the organizations and groups taking part in the project. A helpful context-setting section is included.				
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE			PARAMETER 2	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The purpose, objectives and scope are clear. Evaluation criteria and questions are set out. Focus on gender equity and human rights issues found was quite limited.				
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY			PARAMETER 3	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The methodology appears sound. Data collection methods could have been elaborated on further as it is not completely clear the methods used to capture and analyse the information. Data sources, analysis techniques and sources are listed. Stakeholder's level and engagement was included.				
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS			PARAMETER 4	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The findings are logically presented following the DAC criteria and evaluations questions. They reflect systematic analysis and data limitations were discussed. The findings could have gone further to include the evidence base / data source for the assertions.				
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED			PARAMETER 5	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The conclusions are a summary of findings, are clearly set out and structured according to findings. However, as above, it is a summary of key findings rather than being used as an opportunity to discuss salient issues in more depth.				
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS			PARAMETER 6	Satisfactory	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The recommendations are relevant to the findings and conclusions. No reference to the involvement of stakeholders was found. They do not present though some important features such as clear target groups they are addressed to, clear prioritization, levels of realistic feasibility and explanation about the process used to produce them.				
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS			PARAMETER 7	Meets requirement	

<p>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7</p>	<p>The evaluation addressed some issues well around gender equality and empowerment but it was not a 'lens' running through the evaluation process. The evaluation criteria and questions were defined within the ToRs. The findings show some good insights around women's empowerment and gender dynamics but it was felt that the sample size was too small to be representative/ evidence base was limited. Some sections leave the reader interested in further in-depth analysis.</p>	
<p>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</p>		<p>PARAMETER 8 Good</p>
<p>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8</p>	<p>The report is well structured and comprehensive. It is surprising that the name of the evaluator and date of the report is not included. The annexes helpfully provide additional information that adds credibility to the report, in particular summaries of focus group discussions and interviews, and results of surveys.</p>	