

UN Women Global Evaluation Report Assessment and Analysis System (GERAAS) Constructive Feedback Template



Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
Title of the Evaluation Report	Final Evaluation of “Women For Equality, Peace And Development in Georgia”(WEPD II)				
Report sequence number	26	Date of Review	2016	Year of the Evaluation Report	2016
Region	Europe and Central Asia		Country(ies)	Georgia	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Very Good		TORs sent with Report	Yes	
OVERALL RATING			Overall Rating	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	This report is an excellent example of the application of UNEG standards to the UN Women context, integrating strong gender analysis and quantitative data within a programme that sought to support the implementation of normative standards for GEWE. There are several standout elements, and whilst there is scope for refinement in places the overall 'performance story' elaborated in the report can be acted on with confidence by the primary intended users of the evaluation.				
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION			PARAMETER 1	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The evaluation provides a detailed and wide ranging context section covering both the political economy and the status of women. It would have been interesting to include a little more on the role of women in peace and security processes within the region/target area and the intersection of WEPD with other UN programmes. However, these ideas should not detract from recognising an excellent evaluation report.				
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE			PARAMETER 2	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	Whilst the purpose and specific objectives of the evaluation are somewhat 'hidden' in the text, a detailed scope section, well defined criteria, and explicit discussion of GEHR are all outstanding markers of quality.				
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY			PARAMETER 3	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The methods section contains both useful references to UNEG/UNW evaluation standards and appropriate approaches to data collection and analysis. There is scope for greater clarity on the overall mixed methods design and how triangulation between methods was achieved. In essence the evaluation is a qualitative design that also draws on some quantitative results data - which is appropriate and well executed within the limitations described. The consideration of ethics and the application of gender frameworks is excellent.				
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS			PARAMETER 4	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	Findings are systematically addressed, drawing on a rich set of qualitative evidence and quantitative data on Self Help Group participation, along with strong analysis.				
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED			PARAMETER 5	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The conclusions section is well structured according to the criteria and takes the analysis to a deeper level. This is strong example of building on the findings to explore the implications for decision makers.				
PARAMETER 6:RECOMMENDATIONS			PARAMETER 6	Good	

Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	Recommendations are well organised according to target institutions (although they are not disaggregated beyond this). Whilst they are relevant, there is relatively little detail compared to the other sections of the report.
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	The report consistently reflect a sound consideration of GEEW along its different sections. Partially supported by the object of the evaluation which focuses in different groups of women, the report takes these into account and reflect data regarding their particular situation and results of the program each of them.
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	A clearly structured and readable report that presents all of the required information in a manner that is strongly consistent with UNEG guidance.