

# UN Women Global Evaluation Report Assessment and Analysis System (GERAAS)



## Executive Feedback Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
Title of the Evaluation Report	<b>Gender and Agriculture Programme</b>				
Report sequence number	11	Date of Review	2016	Year of the Evaluation Report	2015
Region	Eastern and Southern Africa		Country(ies)	Malawi	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Satisfactory		TORs sent with Report	No	
<b>OVERALL RATING</b>			<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	This report is not compliant with the UNEG and UN Women standards for good evaluation report, lacking mainly a sound methodological approach, bigger transparency of the data collected and a deeper and more systematic analysis of the findings. Nevertheless the recommendations gathered in the report seem to be pertinent and actionable for the evaluation users.				
<b>PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION</b>			<b>PARAMETER 1</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	This evaluation report includes the logic model as part of the explanation about the object. It also treats context and partners, and it specifies the status of the intervention (which was still being implemented at the time of the evaluation). Description of the context does not include data that justifies the program (about the target population). Some stakeholders are mentioned in this section but their roles in the program are not clearly stated.				
<b>PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE</b>			<b>PARAMETER 2</b>	<b>Good</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The report reflects the evaluation's purpose, objectives, scope, etc. in a succinct but satisfactory manner.				
<b>PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY</b>			<b>PARAMETER 3</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The methodology section included in the report is not considered to meet requirements in a remarkable way. The overall methodological design is not discussed, decisions made by the evaluators are not justified along with why alternative options were disregarded and data quality is not fully assured given the weaknesses of the methodological design. No explanation about why these methods were used was found. There is no discussion about how the informants were selected. Some quality assurance mechanisms are mentioned in the report but not providing confidence enough. Gender and HR issues could have been further mainstreamed. Ethics were not discussed.				
<b>PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 4</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The evaluation report reflects the findings structured according to the evaluation criteria and they relate to the evaluation purpose and objectives, and it explains reasons about achievements and failures. The analysis presented in the report could be deeper and more systematic around the evaluation questions. Overall a more systematic approach could have been used to improve the report's quality.				
<b>PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED</b>			<b>PARAMETER 5</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	Some conclusions can be found along the report but no specific Conclusions section has been included in the report, which is not aligned with what UN Women's evaluation report standards state. Section 4.6. Major lessons only includes one conclusion.				

<b>PARAMETER 6:RECOMMENDATIONS</b>		<b>PARAMETER 6</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6</b>	Section 5 collects "Major recommendations" gathered in two axis, one regarding technical issues of the program design and another about ideas for improving its management. The process that conducted to the elaboration of the recommendations was not mentioned.		
<b>PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS</b>		<b>PARAMETER 7</b>	<b>#REF!</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7</b>	The intervention's primary focus is gender integration and women empowerment, which makes the evaluation focused on these central issues. Given that the ToR and evaluation matrix were not included in the report it was challenging to assess this element (partially reflected in Annex1). Due to the intervention's nature the evaluation focused in women/men inequalities and EW. The evaluation questions do not reflect a specific mention of GEEW issues and could belong to a report using any other approach. Methods seem to have gender issues implicitly into account though no particular mention to gender principles (Participation, Inclusion) were found in practice. No particular gender analysis was found, including breaking-down of areas of intervention or specific groups' needs (such as women with HIV).		
<b>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</b>		<b>PARAMETER 8</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8</b>	The report presents a clear structure that allows the evaluation users to assess the evaluation process and findings though the table of contents does not contain the Executive summary for example. The executive summary collects the main key messages underlying in the report. The executive summary could present a more systematic approach. Annexes have not included the complete ToR, biodata of the evaluators, evaluation matrix, etc.		