

# UN Women Global Evaluation Report Assessment and Analysis System (GERAAS)



## Executive Feedback Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
Title of the Evaluation Report	UN Women Country Portfolio Evaluation: Mozambique 2012-15				
Report sequence number	8	Date of Review	2016	Year of the Evaluation Report	2015
Region	Eastern and Southern Africa		Country(ies)	Mozambique	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Good		TORs sent with Report	Yes	
<b>OVERALL RATING</b>			<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Good</b>	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	The report is underpinned by a clear methodology and evaluation framework which provides a coherent logic and enables sufficient focus and analysis of findings. The analysis of data appears to be rigorous. The evaluator could have explicitly articulated additional aspects in the report e.g. decision-making as to why objective 5 was not included; the stakeholders involved in the validation of the recommendations (were they diverse/ representative of women's groups).				
<b>PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION</b>			<b>PARAMETER 1</b>	<b>Good</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The report provides a good overview of the report setting out the key strategies, interventions and activities. Logic model and theory of change are included in annexes. The report sets out a helpful overview of the country portfolio. It provides a clear description and details strategic goals, impact areas, activities and interventions and includes the guiding Strategic Notes. Logic models and theory of change are included in the annex.				
<b>PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE</b>			<b>PARAMETER 2</b>	<b>Good</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The purpose, objectives and scope of the evaluation are set out clearly. Sufficient but could go further in setting out the intended use of the report e.g. who will be using the report and how, and justification of the scope (all are included in the ToRs and would benefit from being included here).				
<b>PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY</b>			<b>PARAMETER 3</b>	<b>Good</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The methodology is a good example of how the evaluation has used internationally agreed evaluation criteria and applied them to develop relevant questions and sub-questions, as well as integrating human rights and gender equality principles. There was also a consultative process for deciding on priority questions. The methodology is based upon recognized assessment criteria, with clearly set out related evaluation questions. It incorporates a relevant gender and human rights lens/ methodology. Rationale for methodological choice is included in the most part.				
<b>PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 4</b>	<b>Good</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The use of the DAC evaluation criteria to organize findings is a useful guiding thread of the report. Findings are helpfully presented according to DAC criteria and assessed appropriately. Evidence is set out in a clear table within an annex (but could be summarized within the text to reduce referencing the annexe) and appears to be a thorough analysis. Useful areas for improvement are distilled.				
<b>PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED</b>			<b>PARAMETER 5</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	

Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The conclusion provides an accurate and succinct summary of findings. The conclusions are framed around the evaluation criteria and are coherent with that set out in the findings. They are accurate summaries of the findings. However, they do not provide further or deeper insights.
<b>PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The recommendations are clear in most part, and the prioritisation well set out. Recommendations are relevant, and presented in terms of urgency, impact and difficulty. There is less clarity around recommendation 8, a sensitive issue which is not articulated here.
<b>PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS</b>	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	The evaluation was premised on a gender and human rights perspective, and the questions which guide the evaluation integrate a gender and human rights perspective. It provides a good example of how a gender and human rights perspective can be integrated within evaluation questions, and largely follows UNEG guidelines on incorporating HR and GE in evaluations.
<b>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</b>	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	A well-structured and presented report. It contains all of the elements as per the UNEG quality checklist for evaluations.