

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
------------------------------	------------------	-------------	---------------------	-----------------------

Response	
Title of the Evaluation Report	Report on the Final Evaluation of the Project for the National Scale Up of the Isange One Stop Center Model in Rwanda
Report sequence number	0
Date of Review	February 25th, 2018
Year of the Evaluation Report	2016
Region	Eastern and Southern Africa
Country(ies)	Rwanda
Executive Summary in Final Report	Not at all
TORs sent with Report	0
OVERALL RATING	
Overall Rating	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	The report is based on a strong mixed methods methodology that captured the voices of a large number of diverse stakeholders. The findings are based on the objective use of the collected data and provide a good assessment of the object of evaluation. However, the evaluation conclusions could be more analytical, the lessons learned could be made more applicable to other contexts, and the recommendations could be more actionable. It is also good practice to use gender sensitive evaluation techniques and to include additional information to support the evaluation (such as the ToRs and copies of the evaluation tools) in the annexes. It is also essential that all UN Women evaluations include an Executive Summary to help inform decision makers.
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION	PARAMETER 1
Overall Rating	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The report provides a relatively good description the IOSC model but does not explain which geographic regions or rights holders are served by the model, or the total financial value of the model and its scale-up. No information is provided on the context in which the initiative operates, including national policies or priorities, socio-economic and political dimensions, statistics around gender based violence, or contextual factors that facilitate or impede the achievement of results. Key stakeholders are mentioned but their contribution to the project is not explicitly discussed. Different groups of rights holders are not identified. A Theory of Change model is presented later in the report but does not explicitly discuss how outputs are designed to contribute towards outcome and impact-level change.
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE	PARAMETER 2
Overall Rating	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The evaluation purpose and objectives are well presented, although it is unclear whether or not the information generated from this evaluation will be used to inform a subsequent phase of the project. The report does not discuss the evaluation scope in terms of what is included or excluded from the evaluation. The evaluation questions discuss GEEW and human rights due to the fact that the project inherently touches upon these themes but no additional
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY	PARAMETER 3
Overall Rating	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The evaluation is based on a strong mixed methods methodology that includes a desk review, KIIs, FGDs, and a survey. The methodology captured a large number of stakeholders with diverse viewpoints. The report not only describes the methodology used, but explains why the methodological choices were appropriate for an assessment of the object of evaluation. The report makes no reference to the ethical obligations of the evaluators or ethical safeguards that were used to protect evaluation participants.
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS	PARAMETER 4
Overall Rating	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	Findings are well written and present a good analysis of information obtained through the rigorous mixed methods methodology. They answer the evaluation questions and criteria, and provide insightful information around the reasons for the accomplishment and non-accomplishment of results. This being said, they do not clearly discuss any unanticipated results, even though this is mentioned as one of the evaluation objectives.
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED	PARAMETER 5
Overall Rating	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The conclusions are based on the information presented in the findings and present both strengths and weaknesses of the object being evaluated. However, they present primarily a summary of the evaluation findings and do not add any analytical insight. Some interesting lessons learned are presented but they are not properly presented to demonstrate their wider significance to similar initiatives operating in other contexts.
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS	PARAMETER 6
Overall Rating	Satisfactory

Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The recommendations are relevant to the evaluation purpose and are supported by the evidence presented in the findings. However, they are not very actionable. Most of the recommendations are quite vague and do not include a description as to how they could be implemented. Many of the recommendations do not identify a target group for action, and they are not presented in priority order.
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS	PARAMETER 7 Meets Requirements
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	The scope and objectives cover GEEW because of the evaluation object and reference to the areas this covers, rather than explicit definition of GE/HR as an objective. Similarly, indicators capture the effects on, and perspectives of, rights holders (which in the case of the object are mostly women), but do not examine gender dimensions explicitly. The evaluation criteria are broadly defined using UNEG standards; and questions to address these are generic. In reality, gender is addressed by these questions, but only because the object of the evaluation is specifically concerned with gender. The evaluation draws on the UNEG guidance for integrating HR and GE to develop a mixed methods design that is intended to identify and triangulate GE/HR considerations. Findings, conclusions and recommendations address gender issues in terms of both normative and instrumental contributions of the intervention; but the survey data is not disaggregated and additional levels of gender analysis were therefore available to the evaluation.
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE	PARAMETER 8 Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	The report is logically structured following a traditional evaluation report format. However, the project's Theory of Change model is unusually placed after the findings rather than within the object of evaluation description section. The opening pages contain most of the required information with the exception of the names of the evaluators and the timeframe of the evaluation. The report includes only three annexes, which contain a list of persons consulted, a list of documents reviewed, and an evaluation matrix. The ToRs and copies of the evaluation tools are missing. An Executive Summary is not included with the report.