

## UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

<b>Overall Report Rating</b>	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
------------------------------	-----------	------	--------------	----------------

<b>Response</b>					
<b>Title of the Evaluation Report</b>	<b>UN WOMEN SOUTH SUDAN COUNTRY OFFICE MID-TERM PROGRAMME EVALUATION REVISED. (FINAL) DRAFT EVALUATION REPORT</b>				
<b>Report sequence number</b>	0	<b>Date of Review</b>	08 February 2017	<b>Year of the Evaluation Report</b>	<b>2016</b>
<b>Region</b>	Eastern and Southern Africa			<b>Country(ies)</b>	South Soudan
<b>Executive Summary in Final Report</b>	Good			<b>TORs sent with Report</b>	Yes
<b>OVERALL RATING</b>				<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Overall Rating</b>	This is a very good quality report that observes good or best practices in all of its sections. It can be used with confidence and can adequately inform decision making. The only aspect to be improved is to clearly identify unexpected findings.				
<b>PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION</b>				<b>PARAMETER 1</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	The evaluation presents a brief description of the object of the evaluation. Also, the evaluation presents a table where the logic model and Theory of Change are explained. Information on the context in which the object of evaluation operated is described, providing an understanding of the environment in which UN Women works in South Sudan. Also, the key stakeholders are very clearly listed along with their <b>roles</b> and responsibilities, and the country SN status is explained.				
<b>PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE</b>				<b>PARAMETER 2</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	The evaluation's purpose, objectives and scope are thoroughly addressed in the evaluation report. The report explains the evaluation objectives and the evaluation questions are presented in evaluation matrix in the annexes. The report also discusses in detail the way in which gender and human rights perspectives were included in the evaluation objectives and scope.				
<b>PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY</b>				<b>PARAMETER 3</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 3</b>	The methodology used for the evaluation is clearly described and includes sufficient and pertinent information on all aspects assessed in this parameter. Both qualitative and quantitative methods were used and data sources are explained. It is stated that the sampling frame could not be made as intended because of the instability in the region and the evaluation had to concentrate in three regions near the capital, Juba. Also, the stakeholders consulted are listed in the annexes. Finally, the evaluation contemplates methods that are appropriated for analysing gender and human-rights considerations, and the evaluation design's ethical safeguards and mechanisms implemented throughout the evaluation process are discussed in detail.				
<b>PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS</b>				<b>PARAMETER 4</b>	<b>Good</b>

Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The findings are clearly presented and structured around the evaluation criteria and respond to key evaluation questions. They are relevant and based on the objective use of credible evidence. <del>Further</del> Furthermore, all findings reflect a systematic and appropriate analysis and interpretation of the presented data. Gaps and limitations are correctly addressed and the reasons for <del>aeomplishments</del> accomplishments and failures are duly identified. However, unexpected outcomes are not clearly presented in the report.
<b>PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED</b>	<b>PARAMETER 5</b> <b>Very Good</b>
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The conclusions provide pertinent insights and added value to the findings. Also, the conclusions are formulated so as to address all of the evaluation criteria; provide solutions to issues that may be encountered; and address both the strengths and areas of improvement of the object of evaluation. Furthermore, the report presents Lessons Learned that are correctly identified and sufficiently generalized so as to suggest what wider relevance they may have in different contexts.
<b>PARAMETER 6:RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>PARAMETER 6</b> <b>Very Good</b>
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The recommendations are relevant to the object and purpose of the evaluation. They are supported by evidence; identify the target group for each recommendation; are correctly prioritised; and reflect a deep understanding of the commissioning organization and potential constraints. -The report duly describes the process followed in developing the recommendations, including the level and type of consultation with stakeholders.
<b>PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS</b>	<b>PARAMETER 7</b> <b>Meets Requirements</b>
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	Gender and Human-Rights perspectives are well integrated in all the evaluation process and discussed in every stage of it.
<b>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</b>	<b>PARAMETER 8</b> <b>Very Good</b>
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	The report is logically structured, complete, and easy to follow. The title page and opening pages contain all of the necessary elements. Also, the amount of information included in the annexes is particularly thorough, which greatly increases the credibility of the report. The executive summary contains all necessary elements, can stand alone and inform decision-making.