

UN Women Global Evaluation Report Assessment and Analysis System (GERAAS)

Executive Feedback Template



Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
Title of the Evaluation Report	Expanding Syrian Women Refugees' Access to Economic Recovery Opportunities and Meaningful Engagement in Community Life				
Report sequence number	18	Date of Review	2016	Year of the Evaluation Report	2015
Region	Arab States		Country(ies)	Jordan	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Good		TORs sent with Report	Yes	
OVERALL RATING			Overall Rating	Good	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	The report is well structured, logical and clear. Overall each of the parameters works well independently. In summary: the methodology and findings are strong; more context is required; the conclusions could have been more extensive and insightful; the recommendations could be prioritized and targeted. There is a logical thread to the report and the findings hold the report together.				
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION			PARAMETER 1	Satisfactory	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The results chain is set out well in the text, and included in as annex. There is only one paragraph dedicated to the context - Syrian crisis and refugee camps. The report therefore lacks an understanding and depth regarding the situation and changing context for the beneficiaries (changing Syrian crisis and how it effects the camp, plight for women, gender issues). The purpose, goal, location are set out. However, the human and budget resources should be included. The stakeholder groups are not specified i.e. it is mentioned that there are donor and international organisations but not who they are.				
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE			PARAMETER 2	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The evaluation purpose, objectives and scope are all articulated, as per the ToRs. The evaluation questions are appropriate and relevant. The criteria selected (DAC) do not seem to have been justified, particularly as its use was not specified with the ToR.				
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY			PARAMETER 3	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	This section includes a clear description of the methodology used, some rationale, and attention on quality assurance. The description of the secondary information is brief, and there is no list within the annex. A list of stakeholders consulted is included in the annex, but there is no mention of or evidence of stakeholder mapping/analysis. Data quality mechanisms are not discussed and could have set out more regarding data cross-checking and validation.				
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS			PARAMETER 4	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The findings are relevant, clearly structured and presented. They respond to the evaluation criteria and questions. They are grounded in the data source and analysis, and it is positive that where there was a divergence of views of stakeholders that this was presented clearly.				
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED			PARAMETER 5	Satisfactory	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The conclusion is a brief summary of findings. It does not go beyond this to discuss other insights although they relate to the evaluation questions. The evaluation is very positive about the project, but where there is divergence of opinions it is not discussed further as would be expected in a conclusion. It would also be good to read more regarding the sustainability of the project in this section given that it is an end of project evaluation.				
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS			PARAMETER 6	Good	

Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The recommendations appear relevant and were discussed within the findings but not in the conclusions. The report describes the process to elaborate the recommendations and the target group they are addressed to properly. However, they are not clearly prioritized, assigned to a target group or consistent in terms of feasibility.
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	The project's results chain and theory of change is explicitly focused on GEEW, so the evaluation is more likely to be gender-responsive. The ToRs however did not provide specific guidance around HR and GE expectations of the evaluation (and there was no indication of an evaluability assessment of these issues within the ToR). The evaluation process and methodology developed by the evaluator shows a solid approach with evaluation criteria and questions which are outcome and process-orientated around gender issues. However, the evaluation questions are written in Arabic so beyond the description of the methodology, it is not possible to assess the specific scope of interviews and focus group discussions. The findings include some 'voices' /quotes from the direct beneficiaries which is a good addition to the report, used alongside the wider quantitative and qualitative analysis.
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	The report is well structured, logical and clear. Executive summary and first pages meet requirements. Annexes provide with additional information, although there is no list of documents reviewed.