### Executive Summary in Final Report

**Title of the Evaluation Report**
Evaluation of UN Women’s Contribution to UN System Coordination on Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women in Europe and Central Asia (ECA)

**Report sequence number**
0

**Date of Review**
January 28th, 2017

**Year of the Evaluation Report**
2016

**Region**
Europe and Central Asia

**Country(ies)**
Albania, Kyrgyzstan, Kosovo, Turkey, Bosnia-Herzegovina, Georgia, and Serbia.

**Executive Summary in Final Report**
Good

**TORs sent with Report**
No

### OVERALL RATING

**Overall Rating**
Very Good

### Executive Feedback on Overall Rating

This is a credible report that can be used with confidence. The report could be improved by better discussing the level of stakeholder involvement in the evaluation and the justification for the selected level. It should also explicitly discuss the process followed in developing the recommendations, including any consultation with stakeholders. The description around the scope of the evaluation should ideally mention what was not covered and a justification for why the non-standard evaluation criteria were selected should be provided.

### PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION

**PARAMETER 1**
Good

**Executive Feedback on Parameter 2**
The report presents a clear and full description of the object of the evaluation.

### PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE

**PARAMETER 2**
Very Good

**Executive Feedback on Parameter 2**
The evaluation’s purpose, objectives, and scope are sufficiently clear to guide the evaluation. However, evaluation questions are only included in an annex. The scope could be further defined to specify what was not covered and a justification for why these specific evaluation criteria were chosen would be useful.

### PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY

**PARAMETER 3**
Very Good

**Executive Feedback on Parameter 3**
Overall the methodology used for the evaluation is clearly described and provides a justification for the rationale for the methodological choice. However, information around stakeholder involvement in the evaluation process is very limited and evidence of data quality assurance (i.e. data collection tools) is provided within an annex.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS</th>
<th>PARAMETER 4</th>
<th>Very Good</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive Feedback on Parameter 4</td>
<td>The findings are clearly presented, relevant, and based on evidence and sound analysis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED</th>
<th>PARAMETER 5</th>
<th>Very Good</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive Feedback on Parameter 5</td>
<td>The conclusions are clearly presented based on findings and substantiated by evidence. However, evaluation questions are only located within an annex, which is not attached to the report, making it difficult to logically connect conclusions to evaluation questions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS</th>
<th>PARAMETER 6</th>
<th>Good</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6</td>
<td>The recommendations relevant to the object and purpose of the evaluation are clearly presented. They are few but are not explicitly presented in priority area. Additionally, the report does not explicitly discuss the process of the development of the recommendations, including any consultation with stakeholders.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS</th>
<th>PARAMETER 7</th>
<th>Exceeds Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7</td>
<td>Gender and human rights perspectives are integrated and well addressed in the evaluation report. This is particularly the case in its findings, conclusions, and recommendations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</th>
<th>PARAMETER 8</th>
<th>Very Good</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8</td>
<td>The report is well structured, logical, clear, and complete.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>