

UN Women Global Evaluation Report Assessment and Analysis System (GERAAS) Constructive Feedback Template



Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
Title of the Evaluation Report	Evaluation of the National Action Plan for the Implementation of the National Strategy for Improving the Position of Women and Promoting Gender Equality in the Republic of Serbia – Final Report				
Report sequence number	25	Date of Review	2016	Year of the Evaluation Report	2015
Region	Europe and Central Asia		Country(ies)	Serbia	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Good		TORs sent with Report	yes	
OVERALL RATING			Overall Rating	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	This report shows a high-level evaluation-knowledge and quality. It shows the efforts done by the evaluation team to collect data that represents the reality of the results achieved by the National plan, and it is overall considered a very good report. As ideas to improve it would be providing more detail about the object, prioritize the recommendations and include further key information in the annexes.				
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION			PARAMETER 1	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The report describes clearly the context, bodies involved in each of the strategic areas (at the beginning of each findings section) and the implementation status of the object, in a satisfactory manner. However, the object of the evaluation (the National plan) could be further detailed to better understand its scale and complexity. Also an overall picture of the stakeholders could have been added to have a sense of its complexity.				
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE			PARAMETER 2	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	Objectives of the evaluation are very well described in section 1.2. Also the scope is delimited at several levels - geographically, timely, etc. Criteria are very well developed, giving thorough detail of what the evaluators understood by them and the questions linked to them. Gender and HR integration in this section was also remarkably good.				
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY			PARAMETER 3	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The report satisfactorily covers the methodology used by the evaluators, specifically the methods, sampling process, data collection and stakeholders' involvement along the process. It also discussed the evaluation management structure, which is not often the case in many evaluation reports. Ethical questions are mostly covered in section 3.1. More information about alternative evaluation approaches and methods and why the evaluators finally chose the one described in the report could have increased the methodological reliability of the report. A clearer picture of the overall potential population to be consulted and figures of the informants finally contacted could have provided an overall comprehension of the sampling process.				
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS			PARAMETER 4	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The report presents the findings regarding each of the strategic areas in a satisfactory way, treating each of them as an independent object. Global impressions are reflected as a summary at the beginning of the section. Limitations are discussed in page 35, section 3.5. quite focused in external factors, but not including internal self-criticism about the consequences of the choice made for the evaluators, inherent to any design and method.				
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED			PARAMETER 5	Good	

Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	<p>Although there is no specific section that collects the conclusions, they are scattered along the Findings section. Section 5 satisfactorily presents the Lessons learned along the evaluation, including observations that can be useful for the readers. In order to better fulfil UNEG's report standards, Conclusions should have been gathered in a specific section, summarizing findings and going beyond these, interpreting them.</p>
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	<p>The report presents clear and targeted recommendations for the way forward, in a comprehensive and quite extensive section. They are presented organized by those addressed to national stakeholders and those for UN Women. It would be useful for the evaluation audiences if the report would provide elements to assess the priority of each of them.</p>
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	<p>This evaluation is a very good example of a report where the Gender and HR approach is incorporated. Partly due to the intervention's nature, the GEEW approach is well integrated along the scope and design. Having had the evaluation matrix available would have helped assessing if the indicators incorporate it too. The evaluation questions reflect a clear focus of the evaluation in the GEEW perspective. The methodology took into account the central role of the Gender issues in the intervention and in the evaluation. The final sections of the report incorporate disaggregated analysis of the different groups involved.</p>
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE	
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	<p>The report is well structured in a logical, comprehensive manner but it could include the evaluation methods, evaluation matrix and information about the evaluators apart of the Annexes.</p>