

# UN Women Global Evaluation Report Assessment and Analysis System (GERAAS)



## Executive Feedback Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
Title of the Evaluation Report	<b>Strengthening Implementation of the Women, Peace and Security Agenda in Nepal: Mid-Term Evaluation</b>				
Report sequence number	18	Date of Review	2016	Year of the Evaluation Report	2015
Region	Asia and the Pacific		Country(ies)	Nepal	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Good		TORs sent with Report	Yes	
<b>OVERALL RATING</b>			Overall Rating	<b>Good</b>	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	Brief yet very credible report that along its 47 pages covers all UNEG Evaluation Report Standards requirements. It could further develop answers to some of the evaluation questions but on the other hand it includes qualitative and quantitative relevant data (quotes, graphs, etc.) collected that support the findings and conclusions.				
<b>PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION</b>			<b>PARAMETER 1</b>	<b>Good</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	In this brief report (46 pages long), the object's background and internal logic is very efficiently described in section 1. It even specifies the theory of change in an attempt to explain the underlying assumptions behind the model. Also section 1.1.2. presents the project strategy and 1.1.3. the project approach. Specific information about the beneficiaries' situation and context is not provided by the report.				
<b>PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE</b>			<b>PARAMETER 2</b>	<b>Good</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The evaluation purpose and objectives are sufficiently clear to guide the evaluation, along with the orientations provided by the ToR. The evaluation criteria are briefly defined, but this is sufficient to give the idea of the evaluators' understanding of each of them in the context of the project. The scope is not clearly delimited.				
<b>PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY</b>			<b>PARAMETER 3</b>	<b>Good</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The report successfully describes the methodology used by the evaluators. It frames the evaluation in the UNEG manual "Integrating HR and gender in evaluation" and specifies methods and data sources down to the detail (number of informants per site, etc.). In page 18 it presents some data quality assurance mechanisms to make the evaluation findings credible. Rationale for selecting the methods has not been discussed. Ethic issues emerging from the evaluation have not been discussed.				
<b>PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS</b>			<b>PARAMETER 4</b>	<b>Good</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The findings chapter starts by mentioning that monitoring information about the progress of the project can be found in the Results Resource Framework (Annex 2). It also looks at findings following the Theory of Change and validating its assumptions before addressing the performance according to each evaluation criteria. Quantitative and qualitative data sustaining the findings is included along the section. Section 1.2.5. explains the limitations of the evaluation found by the team.				
<b>PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED</b>			<b>PARAMETER 5</b>	<b>Good</b>	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The conclusions are clearly presented in a brief but comprehensive way. They address key issues of the evaluation questions. A compilation of broad-level learning lessons is harvested in Chapter 3 (page 43).				

<b>PARAMETER 6:RECOMMENDATIONS</b>		<b>PARAMETER 6</b> <b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6</b>	The recommendations seem to be relevant to the purpose and well-focused. A very interesting and useful table (A.7.) in the Executive summary links the key findings with a pertinent recommendation. It also details the organization concerned.	
<b>PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS</b>		<b>PARAMETER 7</b> <b>Meets Requirement</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7</b>	The ToRs included relevant evaluation criteria and questions as set out by UNEG guidelines on integrating human rights and gender equality in evaluations. It is a mid-term evaluation and so output orientated although there is some discussion about the path to impact including some gender analysis. The scope was guided by evaluation questions which were defined by the TOR and drew on UNEG guidelines for hr. and GE. The majority of indicators are very focused on women's empowerment (interested that men not involved to gain a picture of changing attitudes). The methodology was inclusive and participatory with a FGD of a large number of beneficiaries (rigorous sampling method though could not find mention of the sampling ratio). Quantitative and qualitative assessments done. The survey questions integrated GE as a focus.	
<b>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</b>		<b>PARAMETER 8</b> <b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8</b>	The report is well written and presents a very clear and simple structure. It is not a complicated document, though it successfully covers all relevant elements. The executive summary is also brief and yet complete.	