UN Women Global Evaluation Report Assessment and Analysis System (GERAAS)



Executive Feedback Template **Overall Report** Very Good Good Satisfactory Unsatisfactory Rating Title of the Final Evaluation Report of Gender Responsive Recovery for Sustainable Peace **Evaluation Report** (GRRSP) Project in Kavre, Ramechhap and Sindhuli Districts **Report sequence** Year of the Date of **Evaluation** number 2016 2015 19 Review Report Region Asia and the Pacific **Country(ies)** Nepal Executive **TORs sent with** <u>Summary in Final</u> Good Yes Report Report **OVERALL RATING** Good **Overall Rating** This evaluation report presents a sound quality in terms of methodology and findings and it meets overall UN Women's requirements for reports. However the quality of the Conclusions **Executive Feedback** and Recommendations sections drastically differs from the rest of the report and should have on Overall Rating been more deeply and technically developed. PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE Good **PARAMETER 1 EVALUATION** The object of the evaluation is successfully described in the first part of the report. Table 1 in page 12 details the intervention's expected outputs and outcomes and the break-down of the **Executive Feedback** agencies involved in each of them. The context the intervention works in is not developed in a on Parameter 2 sufficient manner. The theory of change was not presented - retrieved or reconstructed by the evaluators. PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE Good **PARAMETER 2** In a very brief manner but the report presents evaluation purpose, objectives and criteria in a **Executive Feedback** clear way. Special mention to the scope that carefully delimited the object of the evaluation in on Parameter 2 terms of time, space and groups involved in the assessment. **PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY** Good **PARAMETER 3** According to the report the evaluation was conducted using a mix-methods approach although it is not very clear which quantitative data will be taken into account and its source. The report **Executive Feedback** is very thorough describing each of the methods used. It is interesting to include methods on Parameter 3 beyond the most common ones (desk review, interviews and focus groups), such as case studies. Good **PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS** PARAMETER 4 The Findings section is very well structured along the evaluation criteria, providing answers to the evaluation questions. Key findings are identified and numbered and relevant pieces of data are also incorporated in boxes in each of the sections. Unintended impacts were also captured **Executive Feedback** and presented in page 36. The evaluation tone leaves doubts about its objectivity (ex. Page viii on Parameter 4 "...the project made the right choice of interventions and focused on the right groups of people in the right situations"). PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED Satisfactory **PARAMETER 5** Though the Lessons Learned section (3.6 in page 41) is very rich in ideas, the Conclusions section of the report could have been further developed to summarize the findings and **Executive Feedback** elaborate their consequences. Not all the key questions are answered in this section and they on Parameter 5 do not seem to provide with specific insights to solve the problems found.

PARAMETER 6:RECOMMENDATIONS		PARAMETER 6	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6			
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS		PARAMETER 7	Approaches Requirement
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	The evaluation criteria and questions were set out in the ToRs and cover many aspects of GE and HR issues. These appear to have been applied within the methods and tools. There are some good insights of changes occurring from divergent voices through short case studies. However the analysis and findings could go further to provide more evidence based and in- depth analysis. The scope of analysis sets out the outcome areas which are explicitly focused on gender equality as well as the target groups and stakeholder coverage. Indicators are mixed - some are on women only targets, others which are e.g. % of community do not disaggregate. There is some gender analysis within findings, conclusions and recommendations but it is not in sufficient depth or scope.		
PARAMETER 8: THE	REPORT STRUCTURE	PARAMETER 8	Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	The structure of the report seems rather clear and complete. The executive summary is well synthesized and gives a good overall picture of the content of the report. Relevant annexes have been included such as the evaluation matrix, the composition of the evaluation team, and other complementary information.		