

Title of the Evaluation		temática regional sobre participación p	mplate
Report		Latina y el Caribe 2011-2014	ionnica de las mujeres
Region	Americas	Country(ies)	AC Regional
	and the		Office
Deserve III Destine a	Carribean		
Overall Rating	ll the report	roted on Very Cood The reviewers made	
he following specific com	ments: "Outsi	rated as: <b>Very Good</b> . The reviewers made tanding report that gives a very good f mission and territory) is so broad.	
outstanding, thanks to the incorporated assessment	ir traceability of relevance, markable pac	nd recommendations are particularly with the evaluation questions and their impact and urgency of each of the sugges kage of improvement areas highlighted to n.	sted Very Good
eventually report to wome As another suggestion for heir visual elements, as s visualizations, to help the comparisons."	en, would have improving fu some graphs a audience qui	ely regarding problems these initiatives co e been appreciated. ture reports we can only mention upgrading and tables could use more efficient ways o cker assimilate the figures, trends and e evaluation practices in the report. These	g
ncluded "It is interesting t de ONU Mujeres, p.114) d	hat the Annex collects the lis	techniques as participatory videos were us	
Terms of Reference	Yes	Executive Summary Very	/ Good
ncluded?			Goo
	I AND CONT		GOO
PARAMETER 1: OBJEC	clearly the co	ontext, scope, stakeholders, outcomes and	
PARAMETER 1: OBJEC The report describes very ntervention. The logic model (Table 2, Making assumptions behi	p.21&22)) is		l goals of the overall intervention.
PARAMETER 1: OBJEC The report describes very Intervention. The logic model (Table 2, Making assumptions behi- poccasions.	p.21&22)) is nd this logic e	ontext, scope, stakeholders, outcomes and a very good exercise of summarizing the o explicit and adding indicators could be an ir	l goals of the overall intervention. mprovement for future
PARAMETER 1: OBJEC The report describes very Intervention. The logic model (Table 2, Making assumptions behi poccasions. PARAMETER 2: PURPO	p.21&22)) is nd this logic e <b>SE, OBJECT</b>	ontext, scope, stakeholders, outcomes and a very good exercise of summarizing the o explicit and adding indicators could be an ir	l goals of the overall intervention. mprovement for future <b>Goo</b>
PARAMETER 1: OBJEC The report describes very intervention. The logic model (Table 2, Making assumptions behind occasions. PARAMETER 2: PURPO The purposes of the evalu- and policy. They may be l	p.21&22)) is nd this logic e <b>SE, OBJECT</b> lation are wel however too a	ontext, scope, stakeholders, outcomes and a very good exercise of summarizing the o explicit and adding indicators could be an ir <b>IVES AND SCOPE</b> I defined and within UNEG and UN Wome ambitious as they include all potential purpo	l goals of the overall intervention. mprovement for future <b>Goo</b> n evaluation guidelines oses mentioned in point
PARAMETER 1: OBJEC The report describes very ntervention. The logic model (Table 2, Making assumptions behi occasions. PARAMETER 2: PURPO The purposes of the evalu and policy. They may be l	p.21&22)) is nd this logic e <b>SE, OBJECT</b> lation are wel however too a on policy (acco	ontext, scope, stakeholders, outcomes and a very good exercise of summarizing the o explicit and adding indicators could be an ir <b>IVES AND SCOPE</b> I defined and within UNEG and UN Wome	l goals of the overall intervention. mprovement for future <b>Goo</b> n evaluation guidelines oses mentioned in point

**Meets Requirements** 

SWAP Score: 10/12

Very Good

The process has been very participative, giving many stakeholders the possibility of making contributions and reviewing the drafts of the report.

The methodology seems to be focusing more on qualitative than quantitative methods and data sources, but it seems sufficiently representative, due to the ample samples.

However, all along the report there are signs of how the evaluation team strived for triangulating their findings regarding the evaluation questions via different methods and different sources of information.

PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS

Good

The report gathers a rich collection of findings, which answers in depth the evaluation questions. PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED

PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED Very Good Solid reasoning and extensive conclusions elaboration, systematically based on the findings. Outstanding traceability between findings and conclusions. Lessons learned are also completed by Good practices detected.

 PARAMETER 6:RECOMMENDATIONS
 Very Good

 Fantastic Recommendations section including Impact and Urgency of implementation of each action, which allows the audience to perfectly assess priority of each suggestion.
 Very Good

## PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS

The GEEW integration is perfectly according to UNEG standards, and overall, however, it exceeds many of UN Women's standards for an evaluation report and present insights that are consistent, evidencebased, and useful.

## **PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE**

The report is excellently structured and clearly written in line with UNEG standards. It makes appropriate use of graphics, tables and boxes; includes important annexes; and begins with a strong executive summary that can stand alone.

In order to help strengthen future evaluation reports, the reviewers offered the following constructive suggestions:

- The report is an example of how a complex evaluation object (Regional thematic strategic plans and strategic notes) can be clearly described and delimited.
- □ Ideas for improvement would include starting the introduction of the Executive Summary by the description of the object, instead of starting talking directly of Access, Efficacy and Sustainability (p.6), before having mentioned the evaluand.
- □ Indicators defined in the Evaluation matrix (p.91) are well described but they could be further defined with the introduction of rubrics (defining levels of excellent, good, poor, etc.), so interpretation of the findings is not left to the evaluators' final judgement.
- Given the complexity and diversity of the issue under study, the evaluation could have incorporated some elements for the Systems thinking paradigm to assess the intervention from a systemic perspective too.
- □ Also, the sampling decision process could have been further detailed, in order to improve transparency for future reports.
- □ Finally we would like to point out that the description of the limitations is very thorough and complete, however could be completed by mentioning potential risks of bias in the conclusions (due to the evaluation team profiles, situation or context, or other reasons) and its mitigation measures.
- □ Findings regarding positive and negative factors are listed together without further distinction. A potential idea for improvement would be grouping them by positive or negative or highlighting their nature with an icon.

- □ Also the potential addition of some kind of support for ranking their relevant (very relevant, relevant, etc.) would be welcome to help the audience weight the list of 33 findings.
- □ These and the following sections of the report could be presented as best practices of evaluation report elaboration.
- □ As idea for improving future reports we can mention including a summary table in the executive summary, collecting all conclusions, related findings, ranked by relevance, impact, etc.
- □ It would add additional value if the report were to provide a specific statement on the process by which the recommendations were developed beyond the techniques that were used by the evaluation team (for example, whether there were any participatory processes).
- □ The presence of gender and participation experts within the composition of the evaluation team constitute a best practice and it is remarkable in many parts of the report.
- □ In order to improve future reports, we can suggest include the description of the object given by the ToR as introduction or even at the beginning of the Executive Summary.