

## UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

<b>Overall Report Rating</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>
------------------------------	------------------	-------------	---------------------	-----------------------

	<b>Response</b>				
<b>Title of the Evaluation Report</b>	<b>Corporate evaluation of UN Women’s contribution to UN system coordination on gender equality and the empowerment of women</b>				
<b>Report sequence number</b>	0	<b>Date of Review</b>	February 11th, 2017	<b>Year of the Evaluation Report</b>	<b>2016</b>
<b>Region</b>	Corporate (HQ)		<b>Country(ies)</b>	Global	
<b>Executive Summary in Final Report</b>	Good		<b>TORs sent with Report</b>	Yes	
<b>OVERALL RATING</b>				<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Overall Rating</b>	<p>This is a very strong evaluation report that presents a robust and well justified methodology; that clearly outlines the evaluation purpose, objectives and scope; and that provides excellent information on the context in which the object of evaluation is operating. This being said, the chosen evaluation criteria should be better justified as appropriate (and not just because the ToR identified the criteria). The evaluation findings are based in substantive evidence and are clearly presented around corresponding evaluation criteria and evaluation questions. Although, it would be good for unexpected findings to be clearly identified and discussed. The report’s conclusions and lessons learned are exceptionally well formulated and presented. Recommendations are based on the findings and conclusions and are actionable. Although, it would be useful to explicitly state that they are in priority order. The report is very well structured with strong annexes. The Executive Summary is very informative but is slightly too long at 15 pages.</p>				
<b>PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION</b>			<b>PARAMETER 1</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	<p>The object of evaluation is very well described and includes information on its objectives, theory of change, scale, and budget. Key stakeholders are well identified, along with its current implementation status. The context in which it operates is particularly well described.</p>				
<b>PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE</b>			<b>PARAMETER 2</b>	<b>Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	<p>The purpose, objectives, and scope of the evaluation are clearly stated. The report is particularly strong at describing who needs the information and how it will be used. While the evaluation criteria are clearly stated, no justification is provided for why the standard criteria of sustainability and impact are excluded.</p>				
<b>PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY</b>			<b>PARAMETER 3</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 3</b>	<p>The evaluation methodology is very strong and includes mixed methods. The report justifies the selection of the methodological approach, the data collection methods, the data sources, and the sampling frame. The report also describes the level of stakeholder participation throughout the evaluation, which appears to be reasonable. Additionally, gender and human rights considerations</p>				

	as well as ethical considerations are all well explained. Annexes provide extensive data collection tools.		
<b>PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS</b>		<b>PARAMETER 4</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 4</b>	Findings are clearly stated and structured around evaluation criterion and corresponding evaluation questions. They are based on the evidence presented and reflect a systematic and appropriate analysis and interpretation of the data. The report is particularly strong at describing reasons for accomplishments and failures. While gaps and limitations are discussed, the report does not clearly present unexpected findings.		
<b>PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED</b>		<b>PARAMETER 5</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 5</b>	Conclusions are clearly stated around evaluation criteria; provide insights into the object of evaluation's strengths and areas for improvement; relate to the evaluation questions; and present information that can provide insights into the identification and/or solutions of important problems and issues. Each conclusion specifies from which findings it was drawn from, therefore strengthening the links between conclusions and evidence. Lessons learned are exceptionally well stated and provide excellent information that can inform similar programming in other scenarios and/or contexts.		
<b>PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS</b>		<b>PARAMETER 6</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6</b>	The recommendations are clearly stated; supported by evidence; relevant to the object and purpose of the evaluation; identify the target group for action; and are actionable. The report also describes the process involved in developing them, including the level of stakeholder participation. Although recommendations are numbered, the report does not explicitly state that they are in priority order.		
<b>PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS</b>		<b>PARAMETER 7</b>	<b>Exceeds Requirements</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7</b>	The report thoroughly includes GEEW in the evaluation methodology and evaluation process; analyzes GEEW considerations in the findings and conclusions; and thoroughly integrates GEEW concerns in the lessons learned and recommendations.		
<b>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</b>		<b>PARAMETER 8</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8</b>	The report is well structured and easy to understand. It includes all of the necessary information within the opening pages and includes annexes that greatly increase the credibility of the report. The Executive Summary is very well written and includes all of the necessary elements.		