

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Response				
Title of the Evaluation Report	Corporate Evaluation on Strategic Partnerships for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women			
Report sequence number	0	Date of Review	February 22nd, 2017	Year of the Evaluation Report
Region	Corporate (HQ)		Country(ies)	Global
Executive Summary in Final Report	Fully		TORs sent with Report	No
OVERALL RATING			Overall Rating	Very Good
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	Overall, the report is in line with good practices and GERAAS standards and can be used with a high degree of confidence. It would have been useful had the annexes been attached to the body of the evaluation report to ensure that they remain accessible. The report could also be strengthened by providing a more in-depth description of UN Women's current partnership status, including its most influential partners and how			
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION			PARAMETER 1	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The report provides an excellent description of the importance of partnerships to UN Women and the international context in which the organisation is currently operating. However, it is unclear from the report the extent to which UN Women is currently engaged with partners and which partners are most active or important to the organisation. While the definition of a strategic partnership is clearly outlined, the way in			
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE			PARAMETER 2	Very Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The evaluation purpose is particularly well explained by highlighting which stakeholders will use the information generated by the evaluation and to what ends. The importance of the timing of the evaluation is also well outlined. The report provides an excellent description of the evaluation objectives and scope. It also follows good practice by explaining why the two standard OECD/DAC evaluation criteria of "impact" and "sustainability" were not appropriate for this evaluation.			
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY			PARAMETER 3	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The evaluation is based on a high quality qualitative and theory-based evaluation methodology. The report is particularly rigorous at describing the methodological and theoretical approaches used to conduct the theory-based evaluation. The evaluation was highly participatory and used gender sensitive methodological choices. It ensured that a diverse set of stakeholder views were captured. This being said, the report annexes that are said			
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS			PARAMETER 4	Very Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The findings are based on data obtained through a rigorous methodology and clearly answer the evaluation questions. They are particularly useful in their forward-looking nature and are strong at explaining the factors contributing to UN Women's current state of partnership development.			
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED			PARAMETER 5	Very Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The report provides eight (8) concrete conclusions that provide analytical value that go beyond the findings and that provide key insights and solutions to important problem areas. The lessons learned are correctly identified and can be used to inform similar initiatives in other contexts.			
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS			PARAMETER 6	Very Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The report identifies eight (8) recommendations that are grounded by the evidence presented in the evaluation report and that are relevant to the evaluation purpose and objectives. They are clearly prioritized and identify the target group for action. Each recommendation provides a description of why it is important and how it could be implemented.			
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS			PARAMETER 7	Exceeds Requirements

Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	<p>GEEW is included in the objectives and the scope of the evaluation; and in the discussion defining the outcome mapping descriptions. GE/HR are included both as questions under the standard OECD DAC criteria and as a standalone criterion. The evaluation used multiple lines and levels of evidence using participatory techniques in both data collection (including social learning) and analysis (including outcome mapping). Human Rights frameworks were applied to the stakeholder analysis. Section 4.4 of findings deals expressly with gender</p>		
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1256 262 1566 359"> PARAMETER 8 </td> <td data-bbox="1566 262 1860 359"> Very Good </td> </tr> </table>	PARAMETER 8	Very Good
PARAMETER 8	Very Good		
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	<p>The report is logically structured and easy to navigate. It makes particularly good use of pictures and visual aids to engage the reader. It is, however, somewhat long at 95 pages and could potentially be more accessible to stakeholders if it were shortened. While the Executive Summary is a bit long (10 pages), it provides an excellent</p>		