

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Overall Report	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
Rating					
	Response				
Title of the Evaluation Report	FINAL EVALUATION OF SDC FUNDED PART OF REGIONAL PROGRAMME ON EMPOWERING WOMEN MIGRANT WORKERS IN ASIA (PHASE III EWMWA: 2012-2015)				
Report sequence number	0	Date of Review January 30,20	Year of the Evaluation Report	2016	
Region	Asia and the Pacific		Country(ies)	Lao PDR, Cambodia, Viet Nam and Myanmar	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Good		TORs sent with Report	Yes	
OVERALL RATING			Overall Rating	Good	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	This is a good quality evaluation report that can be used with confidence since it observes good practices in most of its sections. The elements that could be improved would be the following: the usage of data collection methods that can collect first-hand quantitative data; the inclusion of a section or subsection with clearly identified Lessons Learned; to include a description of the process by which the recommendations were produced, including the level of stakeholders' participation in it; and to clearly specify the way the methodology, methods and tools used in the evaluation included a gender-responsive approach as well as a human-rights approach.				
PARAMETER 1: OB EVALUATION	JECT AND CONTEX	XT OF THE	PARAMETER 1	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The evaluation presents a very clear and complete description of the object of the evaluation. It includes a subsection on the Theory of Change that underlines the key inputs in terms of capacity building and awareness; particularly thorough information on the context in which the programme operated, and on the scale and importance of the object of evaluation in the region; the key stakeholders, including the strategic partnerships, and the project's implementation status.				
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE			PARAMETER 2	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The evaluation's purpose, objectives and scope are thoroughly addressed in the evaluation report. The evaluation is particularly strong at clearly explaining the evaluation objectives and presenting the main evaluation questions and criteria along with a rationale for the choices made. Also, evaluation questions appropriately address gender and human rights perspectives which are also included in the evaluation objectives and scope.				
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY			PARAMETER 3	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	feedback on that the evaluation processes observed ethical standards, including the evaluator's obligations.				

section and the annexes. However, the evaluation does not provide sufficient explicit information

	to establish whether or not the methods employed human rights issues identified in the evaluation scotany data collection methods that could collect firmethodology overall light in terms of quantitative of	pe. Additionally, the methorst-hand quantitative data,	dology did not use		
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS		PARAMETER 4	Good		
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The findings are relevant and clearly presented. The findings provide systematic analysis of what the evidence reveals in the context of the evaluation. Also, the findings are presented around the evaluation criteria and respond to the evaluation questions. Although, gaps and limitations in the data are duly addressed, unexpected findings are not discussed in the report.				
PARAMETER 5: CO	NCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED	PARAMETER 5	Satisfactory		
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The conclusions are clearly presented, based on findings and provide added value and insight to the evidence presented in the Findings section. The conclusions provide straightforward solutions to important problems raised through the evaluation and discuss both strengths and weaknesses of the object of evaluation. On the other hand, Lessons learned are not included in the report.				
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS					
PARAMETER 6: RE	COMMENDATIONS	PARAMETER 6	Good		
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The recommendations are relevant to the object supported by evidence; identify the target group understanding of the commissioning organization stakeholder participation is discussed in the method the process followed in developing the recomm consultation with stakeholders.	t and purpose of the eval p for each recommendation and potential constraints. H odology section, the report	luation. They are n; and reflect an However, although does not describe		
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The recommendations are relevant to the object supported by evidence; identify the target group understanding of the commissioning organization stakeholder participation is discussed in the method the process followed in developing the recomm	t and purpose of the eval p for each recommendation and potential constraints. H odology section, the report	luation. They are n; and reflect an However, although does not describe		
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The recommendations are relevant to the object supported by evidence; identify the target group understanding of the commissioning organization stakeholder participation is discussed in the method the process followed in developing the recomm consultation with stakeholders.	et and purpose of the evaluation of the evaluation of the recommendation and potential constraints. Hoodology section, the report rendations, including the large parameter 7 PARAMETER 7 Tated in almost all of the evaluation of	luation. They are n; and reflect an However, although does not describe evel and type of Meets Requirements aluation processes the methodology		

Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8 The report is well structured, logical, and easy to follow. The title page and opening pages contain all necessary elements and so do the annexes, which greatly increase the credibility of the report. The executive summary can stand alone and inform decision-making.