

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
------------------------------	-----------	------	--------------	----------------

	Response				
Title of the Evaluation Report	Final Evaluation: Reducing Vulnerability of Women Affected by Climate Change through Livelihood Options				
Report sequence number	0	Date of Review	28 January 2017	Year of the Evaluation Report	2015
Region	Asia and the Pacific		Country(ies)	Bangladesh	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Good		TORs sent with Report	No	
OVERALL RATING			Overall Rating	Satisfactory	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	This is a mostly credible report that can be used with some confidence. Some important areas need improvement. The report needs to include a Theory of Change or a Results Chain that reflects the change(s) that are sought by the initiative. The evaluation criteria should be presented in the report and a rationale for their selection must be provided. Also, the evaluation report should present a discussion around the extent to which the evaluation design included ethical safeguards. Any gaps and limitations in the data as well as any unexpected findings should also be included and discussed. Lessons Learned should be clearly identified in the report and the process followed in developing the recommendations as well as any consultation with stakeholders should be described in the report. Finally, the annexes should include far more important elements in order to increase the credibility of the report.				
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION			PARAMETER 1	Satisfactory	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The report presents a clear and complete description of the object of evaluation. However, the report does not include a Theory of Change or a Results Chain to reflect the change that was sought by the initiative.				
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE			PARAMETER 2	Satisfactory	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The evaluation's purpose, objectives and scope are clear enough to guide the evaluation. However, the evaluation criteria are not explicitly presented and do not discuss the rationale for why they were selected. Also, human rights concepts are not included throughout the evaluation questions and scope.				
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY			PARAMETER 3	Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The methodology is clearly described and the rationale is discussed. However, there is no discussion around the extent to which the evaluation design included ethical safeguards as well as the mechanisms or measures implemented to ensure that the evaluation processes observed ethical standards. Also, the evaluation does not provide sufficient explicit information to establish whether or not the methods employed are appropriate for analyzing gender and human rights issues identified in the evaluation scope.				

PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS		PARAMETER 4	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The findings are relevant, clearly presented and based on evidence. Also, sound analysis of what the evidence reveals in the context of the evaluation is provided. However, since no evaluation criteria or questions are presented in the report, the findings are not presented in accordance to these. Finally, gaps and limitations in the data, as well as unexpected findings, are not discussed in the report.		
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED		PARAMETER 5	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The conclusions are clearly presented. They are based on findings and add value and insight to the evidence presented in the Findings section. However, the conclusions cannot reflect evaluative judgements relating to evaluation questions since the latter are not included in the report. Lessons Learned are not identified.		
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS		PARAMETER 6	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The recommendations are relevant to the object and purpose of the evaluation. However, the process followed in developing the recommendations, including consultation with stakeholders, is not described. Also, the recommendations are not clearly prioritised or consistently identify the target group for action.		
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS		PARAMETER 7	Meets Requirements
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	Specific statements on the evaluation criteria and evaluation questions are missing from this evaluation report, which makes it more difficult to understand how gender has been mainstreamed. However, the analysis in the findings and conclusions reveals a satisfactory level of integration.		
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE		PARAMETER 8	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	The timeframe of the evaluation object, timeframe of the evaluation, locations, and a list of acronyms are missing from the report and should be included. Also, most of the customary elements, i.e. ToR, list of documents consulted, details on the methodology, the evaluation matrix, or the results matrix are missing from the Annexes.		