

## UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

<b>Overall Report Rating</b>	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
------------------------------	-----------	------	--------------	----------------

Response				
<b>Title of the Evaluation Report</b>	<b>Final Evaluation of the Project “Strengthening Implementation of the Women, Peace and Security Agenda in Nepal (SIWPSAN)”</b>			
<b>Report sequence number</b>	0	<b>Date of Review</b>	06 February 2017	<b>Year of the Evaluation Report</b>
<b>Region</b>	Asia and the Pacific		<b>Country(ies)</b>	Nepal
<b>Executive Summary in Final Report</b>	Good		<b>TORs sent with Report</b>	Yes
<b>OVERALL RATING</b>			<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Overall Rating</b>	This is a high quality report that can be used with confidence since it observes good or best practices in all of its sections. The only issues that need to be addressed are: a discussion around the process followed in developing the recommendations and the consultation with stakeholders. Also, the ToR must always be included in every evaluation report.			
<b>PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION</b>			<b>PARAMETER 1</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	The evaluation presents a clear description of the object of the evaluation. It includes a pictorial and written description of the Logic Model as well as provides information on the context in which the evaluated project operated. The key stakeholders, including the implementing agencies, are listed and the project's implementation status is specified.			
<b>PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE</b>			<b>PARAMETER 2</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	The evaluation's purpose and objectives are adequately addressed. The scope of what is to be covered by the evaluation in terms of time period and geographical scope is also discussed in great detail along with the justification for this. The evaluation clearly explains the evaluation objectives and presents the main evaluation questions. Also, the evaluation report discusses the way in which gender and human rights perspectives were included in the evaluation objectives and scope.			
<b>PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY</b>			<b>PARAMETER 3</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 3</b>	The methodology used for the evaluation is clearly described and data collection methods are discussed. The methodology involved quantitative and qualitative methods, which are duly described. Data sources, the sampling frame and the rationale for selecting them are adequately addressed. Also, the stakeholders consulted are specified and the interview protocols are presented in the annexes. Data analysis was done by triangulating information from various sources, which is also duly described. Furthermore, the evaluation does a great job at describing the way each phase was developed to include Human Rights (HR) and gender responsive features. Similarly, the evaluation thoroughly discusses the ethical safeguards used in the evaluation process, citing the UNEG guidelines. Details of this process are provided in the evaluation matrix.			

<b>PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS</b>	<b>PARAMETER 4</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 4</b>	The findings are clearly presented, structured around the evaluation criteria, and respond to evaluation questions. They are relevant and based on the objective use of a good amount of evidence. All findings reflect systematic analysis and interpretation of the presented data. Gaps and limitations are correctly addressed and the reasons for accomplishments and failures are provided.	
<b>PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED</b>	<b>PARAMETER 5</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 5</b>	The conclusions are clearly presented. They are based on findings and provide added value and significant insight to the evidence presented in the Findings section. The conclusions provide solutions to important problems faced by evaluation users, and present both strengths and areas of improvements. Lessons learned are correctly identified, generalised, and divided into subcategories so as to increase their usefulness in different contexts.	
<b>PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>PARAMETER 6</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6</b>	The recommendations are relevant to the object and purpose of the evaluation. The report does a great job at presenting the recommendations in a table for greater clarity. The recommendations clearly identify the target group for each action; reflect an understanding of the commissioning organization and potential constraints; and a timeframe is provided for the actions to be taken in each case. On the other hand, the report does not refer to the process followed in developing the recommendations or to the level and type of consultation with stakeholders.	
<b>PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS</b>	<b>PARAMETER 7</b>	<b>Meets Requirements</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7</b>	Gender and Human-Rights perspectives are integrated throughout the evaluation process and sufficiently discussed in the report. Similarly, a gender analysis is evident throughout all the stages of the evaluation as well as in all sections of the report.	
<b>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</b>	<b>PARAMETER 8</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8</b>	The report is logically structured and easy to read. The executive summary is succinct and can stand alone and inform decision-making. The title page and opening pages contain all of the necessary elements and so do the annexes, with the exception of the ToR, which is not included.	