

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
------------------------------	-----------	------	--------------	----------------

	Response				
Title of the Evaluation Report	FINAL EVALUATION OF LOCALIZING WOMEN, PEACE AND SECURITY AGENDA IN CENTRAL TERAI DISTRICTS OF NEPAL PROJECT				
Report sequence number	0	Date of Review	07 February 2017	Year of the Evaluation Report	2016
Region	Asia and the Pacific		Country(ies)	Nepal	
Executive Summary in Final Report	Good		TORs sent with Report	No	
OVERALL RATING			Overall Rating	Satisfactory	
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	The report does not present evaluation questions, which makes it difficult to trust its methodology and findings. By including evaluation questions, the credibility of the report would be significantly enhanced. Other areas for improvement include: better mainstreaming GEEW and human rights considerations throughout the evaluation process and report; discussing the ethical principles that guided the evaluation; identifying how recommendations were developed; and including the appropriate annexes which include the ToR, data collection tools, and an evaluation matrix.				
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION			PARAMETER 1	Very Good	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The evaluation presents a clear description of the object of the evaluation. It includes a pictorial and written description of the Logic Model as well as information on the context in which the evaluated project operated. The key stakeholders, including the implementing agencies are listed, and the project's implementation status is specified.				
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE			PARAMETER 2	Satisfactory	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The purpose, objectives as well as evaluation criteria are addressed. However, there are no evaluation questions provided in the report nor is an evaluation matrix presented. Also, there is no mention of the way Gender and Human Rights were included in the evaluation objectives and scope. On the other hand, the scope of what is to be covered by the evaluation in chronological and geographical terms is discussed.				
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY			PARAMETER 3	Satisfactory	
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The methodology used for the evaluation is clearly described and data collection methods are discussed. The methodology involved quantitative and qualitative methods used, which are duly described. Data sources, the sampling frame and the rationale for selecting them are also adequately addressed. The stakeholders consulted are specified but the interview protocols are not included in the report. Data analysis was done by triangulating information from various sources, a process that is duly described. Furthermore, the evaluation mentions that Human Rights and gender responsive features guided the evaluation although no further details are provided. Finally, neither the ethical safeguards used in the evaluation process are discussed nor the UNEG guidelines are cited in the report.				

PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS		PARAMETER 4	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The findings are clearly presented, and structured around the evaluation criteria. However, they do not respond to evaluation questions, which as stated earlier are not retrievable in the report or annexes. Findings are relevant, based on the objective use of evidence and reflect systematic analysis and interpretation of the presented data. Gaps and limitations are correctly addressed and the reasons for accomplishments and failures are correctly identified. However, there is no discussion about the occurrence of any unexpected findings.		
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED		PARAMETER 5	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	Conclusions are clearly presented. They are based on findings and provide added value and insight to the evidence presented in the Findings section. However, it is not possible to assess whether or not the conclusions relate to key evaluation questions since the latter are not included in the report. The conclusions discuss both strengths and areas for improvements. Lessons learned are listed but they are not correctly identified and generalised. They focus on the object of evaluation and do not suggest how they could be used in different contexts.		
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS		PARAMETER 6	Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The recommendations are relevant to the object and purpose of the evaluation. The recommendations clearly identify the target group for action and reflect an understanding of the commissioning organization and potential constraints. On the other hand, the report does not refer to the process of the development of the recommendations, or to the level and type of consultation with stakeholders.		
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS		PARAMETER 7	Approaching Requirements
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7	Gender and Human-Rights perspectives are not sufficiently integrated throughout the evaluation process and GEEW in general could be further discussed in the report. Conversely, a gender analysis is evident throughout the findings, conclusions and recommendations sections of the report.		
PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE		PARAMETER 8	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8	The report is for the most part logically structured. The title and opening pages provide all key information and the executive summary can stand alone, is succinct, and contains all necessary elements to adequately inform decision-making. Unfortunately, the annexes are incomplete, as the ToR, the evaluation matrix, and the interview protocols are missing.		